



THE LONDON BOROUGH  
www.bromley.gov.uk

BROMLEY CIVIC CENTRE, STOCKWELL CLOSE, BROMLEY BRI 3UH

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333

CONTACT: Steve Wood  
[stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk](mailto:stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk)

DIRECT LINE: 020 8313 4316

FAX: 020 8290 0608

DATE: 28 October 2013

To: Members of the  
**PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

Councillor Kate Lymer (Chairman)  
Councillor Gordon Norrie (Vice-Chairman)  
Councillors Douglas Auld, Jane Beckley, John Canvin, Roxhannah Fawthrop,  
Peter Fookes, David Hastings and Harry Stranger

Non-Voting Co-opted Members –

Terry Belcher, Bromley Community Engagement Forum  
Derec Craig, Bromley Victim Support  
Dr Robert Hadley, Bromley Federation of Residents Associations  
Alf Kennedy, Bromley Neighbourhood Watch  
Andrew Spears, Bromley Youth Council  
Abdulla Zaman, Bromley Youth Council

A meeting of the Public Protection and Safety Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee will be held at Bromley Civic Centre on **TUESDAY 5 NOVEMBER 2013 AT 7.00 PM**

MARK BOWEN  
Director of Corporate Services

***Copies of the documents referred to below can be obtained from***  
**[www.bromley.gov.uk/meetings](http://www.bromley.gov.uk/meetings)**

## **PART 1 AGENDA**

**Note for Members:** Members are reminded that Officer contact details are shown on each report and Members are welcome to raise questions in advance of the meeting.

### **STANDARD ITEMS**

- 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS**
- 2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**
- 3 QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ATTENDING THE MEETING**

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, questions to this Committee must be received in writing four working days before the date of the meeting. Therefore please

ensure questions are received by the Democratic Services Team by 5pm on Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> October 2013

- 4 **MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PDS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 10TH SEPTEMBER 2013** (Pages 1 - 14)
- 5 **MATTERS ARISING** (Pages 15 - 20)
- 6 **CHAIRMAN'S UPDATE**
- 7 **POLICE UPDATE**

#### **HOLDING THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER TO ACCOUNT**

- 8 **QUESTIONS TO THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND COUNCILLORS ATTENDING THE MEETING**

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, questions to this Committee must be received in writing four working days before the date of the meeting. Therefore please ensure that questions to the Portfolio Holder are received by the Democratic Services Team by 5pm on Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> October 2013.

- 9 **VERBAL UPDATE FROM PORTFOLIO HOLDER ON SEPTEMBER 2013 CRIME SUMMIT**
- 10 **PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF REPORTS TO THE PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PORTFOLIO HOLDER**
  - a **BUDGET MONITORING 2013/14** (Pages 21 - 28)
  - b **REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM TENDERING FOR DOMESTIC ABUSE PERPETRATOR PROGRAMME** (Pages 29 - 34)
  - c **REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM TENDERING FOR DOMESTIC ABUSE ADVOCACY PROJECT** (Pages 35 - 40)

#### **POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND OTHER ITEMS**

- 11 **PORTFOLIO PLAN UPDATE AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY APRIL 2013-- SEPTEMBER 2013** (Pages 41 - 62)
- 12 **MOPAC GRANTS PROGRESS UPDATE** (Pages 63 - 70)
- 13 **SUMMER DIVERSIONARY ACTIVITIES 2013: END OF PROGRAMME REPORT** (Pages 71 - 80)
- 14 **UPDATE ON MOTTINGHAM TARGETED ACTION** (Pages 81 - 84)
- 15 **WORK PROGRAMME AND CONTRACTS REGISTER** (Pages 85 - 90)
- 16 **MEMBER VISITS**

.....

## **PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

Minutes of the meeting held at 7.00 pm on 10 September 2013

### **Present**

Councillor Kate Lymer (Chairman)  
Councillor Gordon Norrie (Vice-Chairman)  
Councillors Douglas Auld, Nicholas Bennett J.P.,  
John Canvin, Roxhannah Fawthrop, Peter Fookes,  
David Hastings and Harry Stranger

Terry Belcher, Dr Robert Hadley and Abdulla Zaman

### **Also Present**

Councillor Tim Stevens J.P., Councillor Judi Ellis,  
Councillor John Ince.

## **20 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

The Chairman declared a personal interest regarding Item 13 as a Trustee of the Bromley Youth Music Trust.

Councillor Douglas Auld declared a personal interest by virtue of subscribing to the Bromley Youth Music Trust (BYMT) and for item 16, Councillor Auld declared a further interest by virtue of his wife being a Council employee working in Adult Social Care.

## **21 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS**

Apologies were received from Councillor Jane Beckley and Councillor Nicholas Bennett JP attended as alternate Member.

Alf Kennedy (appointed as Bromley Neighbourhood Watch representative at item 3) also sent apologies as did Andrew Spears (as a representative of the Bromley Youth Council).

## **22 APPOINTMENT OF CO-OPTED MEMBERS**

### **Report RES 13149**

Since the appointment of Co-opted Members at the Committee's previous meeting, the Bromley Community Engagement Forum (BCEF) had notified a change of BCEF representation for the Committee.

Additionally, a nomination for Co-opted Membership had been received from Bromley Neighbourhood Watch for 2013/14.

**RESOLVED that the following non-voting Co-opted Members be confirmed for 2013/14:**

- **Mr Terry Belcher (Bromley Community Engagement Forum) and**
- **Mr Alf Kennedy (Bromley Neighbourhood Watch)**

**23 QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ATTENDING THE MEETING**

There were no questions to the Committee from Councillors or Members of the Public.

**24 MATTERS ARISING**

**Report RES13146**

Members considered the matters arising report.

Concerning *Minute 206, Bethlem Royal Hospital Update*, Members were advised that the Constitution of the Council of Governors for South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust would need changing to permit consideration of Councillors on to the Trust's Council of Governors. The matter was to be considered at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Council of Governors which was meeting at the same time as the Committee. Feed back would be provided.

Councillor Peter Fookes enquired whether SLaM could be asked to provide Co-opted representation on the Committee and the Chairman offered to give this consideration.

The Chairman confirmed that a report of the independent review following the February 2012 incident had been received. She asked that this be made available to the Committee for its next meeting.

Commenting on the report, the Portfolio Holder was concerned that no further meaningful information had been provided on the incident. Questions still remained unanswered. He and the Council Leader would highlight this when next meeting SLaM/Bethlem hospital representatives. The Director confirmed that SLaM were content for the report to be circulated to all Members of the Committee.

Councillor Nicholas Bennett J.P. contrasted the report with the report on the more recent patient unrest on a ward (October 2012). The report on the February 2012 incident provided a summary of executive actions but no detail on the Committee's key questions. Councillor Bennett supported the Portfolio Holder in having this as a continuing matter outstanding.

Concerning *Minute 19, Member visits*, Councillor Fookes felt that the visit to the Bromley Ambulance Station on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2013 was productive and he suggested the London Ambulance Service be asked to provide a presentation to the Committee.

Concerning youth matters, Councillor Bennett highlighted that the Education PDS Committee were intending to consider the issue of young people Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET). He suggested that this might also be of interest to Members of the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee, offering the possibility a joint meeting of the two Committees to consider the item.

**RESOLVED that matters arising from previous meetings be noted.**

**25 MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PDS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 18TH JUNE 2013**

The minutes were agreed.

**26 CHAIRMAN'S UPDATE**

The Chairman highlighted the visit to Bromley Ambulance Station on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2013. The Head of Bromley Youth Support Programme also provided a visit for the Chairman and Portfolio Holder to some of the borough's Youth Centres.

On 18<sup>th</sup> June 2013, the Chairman attended a meeting of the Bromley Mentoring Steering Group and during the summer attended some of the youth diversionary activities at Council parks.

The Chairman also highlighted the forthcoming Crime summit on 28<sup>th</sup> September at which The Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime would be present.

The Chairman also thanked the Assistant Director and officers for preparing the MOPAC bid earlier this year and for securing the funds made available for the Council.

**27 POLICE UPDATE**

The Deputy Borough Commander, Jo Oakley, provided an update for the Committee.

The new policing model (Tranche 2) was due to go live in the borough on Monday 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013.

Aspects of the new police delivery included:

*Public Protection and Safety Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee  
10 September 2013*

Front Counters:	24/7 Front Counter - Bromley Police Station
Conventional police station Front Counters offering all policing services with secure and private facilities	Standard Front Counter - Copperfield House, 190 Maple Road, Penge, SE20 8RE  Standard front counter - West Wickham Police Office, 9 High Street, West Wickham, BR4 0LP
Contact Points:	192 & 194 Main Road, Biggin Hill, Kent, TN16 3BB  49 High Street, Green Street Green, Orpington, BR6 6BG  Cray Police Office, 43-45 High Street, BR5 3NJ
Contact Points are locations for non-urgent face-to-face contact, where the public can meet their local police at regular known times.	Contact Points are open every Wed-Thur evening between 19.00 and 20.00 and every Saturday afternoon between 14.00 and 15.00  Possible additional Contact Point:  The Walnuts, Orpington, has been identified as a future contact point.

There would be four operational policing clusters in the borough, each led by an Inspector.

Concerning recent police results, the following details were highlighted:

- a prolific burglar of residential properties had been sentenced to prison for two years;
- a care worker had been sentenced to 14 months imprisonment for theft and false accounting;
- a suspect had been arrested, charged and remanded to appear in court in connection with the murder of a young Somali man in Penge; and
- a suspect had been arrested and bailed in connection with the recent murder of a 17 year old male in Bickley.

Overall, the Deputy Borough Commander felt that policing performance in the borough was good for the last year:

- overall crime levels had reduced;
- residential burglary figures were down by 8-9%;
- there had been a 19% reduction in motor vehicle theft;
- theft from motor vehicles had reduced; and
- there was an overall reduction in crime of 9.5%

Members were informed that the Police Open Day was scheduled for 22nd September 2013.

In discussion, the Deputy Borough Commander confirmed that there continued to be an ongoing investigation into the arson attack at the Darul Uloom School, Chislehurst in early summer. An individual was on bail and there had not been any further such arson incidents.

Concerning the new police model, it was confirmed that a significant amount of publicity had been provided to residents on contact arrangements for local areas and police officers.

The local police complement had not quite reached full strength, the complement being short of some 20 officers. Over the previous two months, some 30 new officers had been allocated to Bromley.

The Portfolio Holder thanked Bromley Police for their good work. There was a good relationship between the Police service and partners including Safer Neighbourhood Panels. The Portfolio Holder indicated that across London this was not always the case. The Chairman was pleased to see a continuing reduction in crime figures.

**28 QUESTIONS TO THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND COUNCILLORS ATTENDING THE MEETING**

A question was received from Mr Colin Willetts for written reply. Details of the question and reply are at **Appendix A**.

**29 PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF REPORTS TO THE PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PORTFOLIO HOLDER**

**A) BUDGET MONITORING**

**Report: ES13102**

Report ES13102 provided an update on the latest 2013/14 budget position for the Portfolio based on expenditure and activity levels to 31st July 2013. It showed a projected underspend of £10k.

The report also outlined expenditure and progress in implementing the Member Priority Initiative for the Portfolio and provided details of the latest expenditure within the Community Safety Budget.

Concerning Operation Payback, (part of the Community Safety budget) and in response to a question, the Portfolio Holder provided a brief update on the Payback service and associated issues. Councillor Auld highlighted a £2k bid for a dog microchip service within the Community Safety budget (under Safer Neighbourhood Grants) and was advised of Government measures requiring every dog to be micro chipped. The Council would be a significant beneficiary as stray dogs could be quickly returned to their owners, so providing a saving. The Council would work in partnership with the Dog's Trust.

For the Member Priority Initiative of Targeted Neighbourhood Activity, Councillor Auld felt that little had been spent from the project's £150k budget. He was concerned that there were not more projects underway. The Portfolio Holder explained that the budget was not time limited with no imperative to spend the allocation in a financial year. Activity was taking place (e.g. gating) and the Assistant Director added that work was progressing without the need for significant Council expenditure.

**RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to:**

**(1) endorse the latest 2013/14 budget projection for the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio; and**

**(2) note progress in implementing the targeted Neighbourhood Activity Project.**

**B) INCREASE IN ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY INITIATIVE**

**Report ES13095**

Report ES13095 proposed increased action to tackle Enviro Crime with a programme to supplement existing functions running from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2013 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014. It was intended to publicise enforcement actions, offending individuals and punishments. Commentary highlighted the proposed activities with an indication of costs. (A proposed Enviro Crime reward scheme, expected to cost less than £2k, would be funded from the Environment Portfolio's Street Scene and Green-space budget).

Councillor Nicholas Bennett welcomed the report, referring to instances of illegal dumping sometimes occurring in his West Wickham Ward. A resident had suggested searching footage of CCTV cameras operated by other public agencies e.g. TfL. Unfortunately, this had not been possible with TfL given issues over co-operation. These were briefly outlined. Nevertheless, Officers were prepared to explore further. Councillor Bennett felt that it should be possible for public bodies to co-operate in this way.

Reference was made to the value of input from residents and Safer Neighbourhood Teams. When an area had been leafleted about illegal dumping, offending had often ceased. Reference was also made to the proposed reward scheme for reporting Enviro Crime leading to successful prosecution.

Councillor Auld was interested to know how it was possible to assess value for money in enforcement against dog fouling. In response, intelligence from Street Services (of where offences were regularly occurring) and the issue of Fixed Penalty Notices (FPNs) were cited as two indicators. Councillor Bennett suggested action against dog owners allowing their dogs to walk off lead along the street.



Dr Hadley suggested that officers might wish to address the Federation of Resident Associations to outline the proposed enforcement approach including the proposed reward scheme.

**RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to agree:**

**(1) the increased enforcement activity outlined at paragraphs 3.7 to 3.25 of Report ES13095; and**

**(2) to allocate £20K from the Safer Neighbourhood Development Grant budget to fund the additional enforcement activity.**

**C) SCRAP METAL DEALERS ACT 2013 - FEES**

**Report ES 13110**

This report was considered as a matter of urgency as the Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 was coming into effect in stages from 1st September 2013 (the other stages being 1st October and 1st December 2013) and fees needed to be in place to enable applications to be submitted between 1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> October 2013.

The Act replaces the previous registration system for scrap metal dealers and establishes a new licensing regime administered by local authorities with every scrap metal dealer required to have a licence. Operation without a licence is a criminal offence with the definition of a scrap metal dealer now including motor salvage operators.

The Act creates a site licence whereby all sites at which a licensee carries on business as a scrap metal dealer have to be identified. A site manager also has to be named for each site and the licence allows the licensee to transport scrap metal to and from those sites from any local authority area.

The Act also creates a collector's licence by allowing the licensee to operate as a collector in the area of the issuing local authority. It is not possible for a scrap metal dealer to hold both a site and a mobile collector's licence in a local authority area.

The Act permits the Council to set a fee for a licence. Taking account of likely costs associated with the administration of each type of licence and enforcement, proposed fees were outlined for a new application, variation and licence renewal for each licence type. Licences are required to be renewed every three years.

In discussion, Councillor Bennett suggested the fees could be realistically higher to take account of all possible costs including overheads and ancillary costs. The Portfolio Holder asked to see what fees other Local Authorities were charging and felt there could be further consideration of fee levels in consultation with finance officers. It was suggested that if fee levels are higher than those charged by other Local Authorities, there is an increased risk of challenge to the Council. The proposed fees are based on a standard hourly

rate. Councillor Auld highlighted that the licences would last for three years and be subject to renewal. He expressed satisfaction with the fees proposed.

Councillor Norrie suggested there was a balance; there was a direct correlation between more expensive licence fees and applications for a licence. In view of the need to set fees quickly, he was inclined to accept the charges proposed in Report ES13110.

The Chairman felt there should be further consideration of the proposed fees highlighting a comparison with fees levied by Westminster City Council. She suggested the Portfolio Holder should not make an immediate decision.

**RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to give further consideration to the proposed fees at paragraph 3.5 of Report ES13110, to be sure that they are at an appropriate level taking account of the Committee's comments outlined in discussion.**

### **30 WASTE 4 FUEL - ORAL PRESENTATION BY THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY**

Members received a presentation by Mr Rob Wise (Environment Manager, Environment Agency, Kent and South London Area) and Mr Jon Griffin (Environment Agency, Team Leader, Kent Waste Team) concerning the Waste4Fuel site at Cornwall Drive, Orpington. A copy of the presentation is at **Appendix B** to these minutes.

The EA currently regulate over 700 waste facilities. They manage risk (i.e. put in place control and management measures), supply permits and issue suspension notices as required. The following was explained:

- they cannot control the location of sites;
- they are concerned with environmental impact and waste management issues;
- many sites are close to commercial and critical infrastructure;
- the Agency works within a Regulatory Framework and can issue environmental notices and prosecute;
- the EA have revoked permits and sites have been liquidated as a result;
- when sites are liquidated the permit is dissolved and they are classed as being "orphaned" (sometimes with a significant amount of waste to be disposed of and only when a developer acquires the land is the waste removed and the site cleaned);
- when a site is orphaned a new permit can be granted to a new landowner if criteria are met;
- sometimes the new position with an orphaned site can be worse; and
- a permit is only completely withdrawn as a last resort.

For the Waste4Fuel site, it was necessary for the EA to ensure:

- compliance with the permit;
- that the fire risk is managed; and
- that an operator is maintained on site to ensure it does not become orphaned.

#### Update on enforcement action

In 2012 there was significant concern about the waste volume on site and a number of enforcement actions were taken including serving of a compliance notice. In early 2013 concern grew for the waste volume and fire risk and an action plan was agreed on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2013. EA inspection frequency significantly increased and there was community engagement at a residents meeting on 13<sup>th</sup> May 2013. There were also regular meetings with local Members and engagement with the local MPs. The agency also works in partnership with the London Fire Brigade.

A fire occurred at the site on 18<sup>th</sup> March 2013 due to self combustion. On 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2013 a Suspension Notice was issued limiting activities to an inward waste delivery of 200 tonnes per week and a minimum outward delivery of 600 tonnes per week. The site was to be cleared of combustible waste by June 2013. There was an emphasis on maintaining a regulatory grip on the site whilst reducing the fire risk and avoiding it becoming orphaned. By June 2013 waste levels were slowly reducing. But in July 2013 there appeared to be a behaviour change and waste volumes (and fire risk) began to significantly increase.

The Environment Agency sought legal advice. In August 2013 an application was made for an Order in the High Court against the operating company and Director. An initial hearing on 29<sup>th</sup> August 2013 resulted in an interim order with a full hearing yet to take place. By 7<sup>th</sup> September 2013 it was necessary for a *Fire break 1* to have been provided. A deadline of 19<sup>th</sup> September was set for Shredded Waste and by 30<sup>th</sup> September a *Fire break 2* was to have been installed.

Such an approach has the following benefits:

1. the Order is also against the Director of the Company;
2. there is a significant penalty for non compliance;
3. the likelihood of an orphaned site is reduced; and
4. the fire risk is continually managed.

It was intended that the full Court Order would compel Waste4Fuel to comply with the notice issued i.e. removal of all waste on site. However, the EA want the operator to remain on site so that the fire risk can be continually managed in conjunction with the EA.

In discussion, it was confirmed that London Fire Brigade were bearing their costs of site attendance to deal with any incident. Residents were concerned about the length of time legal processes were taking and there was a desire to

see matters expedited. The EA indicated that it was necessary to proceed via the regulatory process.

On keeping residents briefed, the EA had liaised with the local residents association. There had also been communications with MPs and Councillors. Upon request, it was possible for residents to be added to the EA's mailing list. Additionally residents could provide details of any concerns via the EA hotline.

Noting that Waste4Fuel were ranked by the EA at Band A during their initial period of operation, Councillor Fookes sought to understand how the operator's performance could worsen so significantly. He asked if there had been a change of Director. He felt that the problems had continued for too long. He asked whether the site should not be closed. Members were advised that closure would leave an orphan site. If circumstances changed and another operator were to submit a permit application then consideration would be given to a new licence provided the operator was suitable. Concerning the change in operator performance, it was not possible to provide a specific reason for this – there could be a number of reasons.

Councillor John Ince, on behalf of residents, felt it was very disappointing that the situation had continued for so long. He felt that it was necessary to think about an end scenario and for the permit to be removed from the company. He suggested the company would continue to prevaricate regardless of legal action and would not make a swift improvement.

It was indicated to the Chairman that it was unlikely a new operator would apply to operate at the site should Waste4Fuel's permit be revoked. It was also confirmed that the EA performed a regulatory function and were not in a position to market or encourage another operator to take over the site.

Councillor Bennett asked why it had taken the EA 18 months to take action. The agency had powers. Members were advised that it was reasonable to work with operators to achieve compliance. It was also confirmed to Councillor Bennett that the EA took account of previous convictions related to Company Directors when granting a licence.

The Chairman referred to concerns over the inclusion of non-construction waste which would assist combustion e.g. plastics. It was confirmed that plastics would be combustible. As such it would be valuable as a fuel for power generation at certain European sites. Councillor Judi Ellis concluded that it might therefore be uneconomic to take much of the waste to a country such as Germany. Much of the waste would be low grade and would have to be land-filled.

## **31 OVERVIEW OF COUNCIL SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE**

### **Report ES13091**

In view of the transfer of daily operational responsibilities for the Council's Youth Service and Youth Offending Team passing to the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio at the start of the Council year, Report ES13091 provided an overview of the content and purpose of Council services for young people.

The services highlighted in the report and its appendix contributed to meeting Council responsibilities for:

- supporting young people to remain in Education, Employment and Training
- promoting and providing activities for young people outside of school time
- deterring young people from anti-social and offending behaviour
- safeguarding and supporting young people if they do offend and enter the youth justice system
- managing work experience and educational visits

**RESOLVED that the report be noted.**

## **32 SUMMER ACTIVITIES UPDATE**

### **Report ES13092**

Members were updated on the Summer Diversionary Activities Programme for 2013, including details of confirmed content, publicity and budget for the Programme. Briefing tabled at the meeting provided details of attendance figures for each venue.

Members were advised that a full report would be provided in November on outcomes from the Summer Programme. In the meantime, it was possible to confirm that some 11,293 young people attended activities this summer at the various venues. This was a substantial increase in attendance compared with last year.

**RESOLVED that the report be noted.**

## **33 DUKE OF EDINBURGH AWARDS - UPDATE**

### **Report ES13093**

Members noted an update on the performance of the Duke of Edinburgh Award programme in Bromley.

Councillor Auld noted that Bromley now has 4.4% (1,628 people) of 14-24 year olds in the borough undertaking the Award, compared with the London average of 3% (32,095 people) and he considered this a good performance.

**RESOLVED that the report be noted.**

**34 BROMLEY SAFEGUARDING ADULTS BOARD ANNUAL  
REPORT 2012/13**

**Report RES 13161**

Members considered an Information briefing on the 2012/13 Annual Report of the Bromley Safeguarding Board. The Report outlined the Board's work to drive improvement in multi-agency action to safeguard vulnerable adults.

The Chairman asked how the new policing model would affect Adult Safeguarding. The Quality Assurance Manager from the Education and Care Services Department considered the rate of prosecutions in this area to be good. However, she suggested that there might now be further pressure on professionals within the police service who were previously able to specialise more in Adult Safeguarding. It could be expected that police professionals might now have to take a much broader approach.

Commenting on the police role in Adult Safeguarding, the Deputy Borough Commander indicated that the Detective Inspector who is part of the Safeguarding Board would have more responsibility. Overall, she suggested there should be little difference in police input for Adult Safeguarding under the new Policing model.

**RESOLVED that information in the briefing and 2012/13 Annual Report of the Bromley Safeguarding Board be noted.**

**35 WORK PROGRAMME AND CONTRACTS REGISTER**

**Report RES 13147**

For the Committee's next meeting and in addition to the items highlighted in the Work Programme, it was intended to provide a full report on the Summer Diversionary Activities Programme.

The Portfolio Holder would also provide an update on feedback from the Crime Summit to be held on 28<sup>th</sup> September 2013.

The Annual Update Report on the Bromley Youth Offending Team Partnership (2012/13) would move to the Committee's meeting on 21st January 2014.

Councillor Fookes suggested that it would be helpful to have a presentation from the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) at a future meeting.

**RESOLVED that Work Programme be agreed subject to the changes at paragraphs one to three above.**

**36 MEMBER VISITS**

Noting that a visit can be arranged to the London Ambulance Service (LAS) Control Room, Waterloo for any date from 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013 onwards, it was agreed to settle on a date for the visit outside of the meeting.

Of two options offered by the LAS, Members wishing to attend the Control Room preferred to receive in depth information during the visit rather than a brief overview.

The Meeting ended at 8.47 pm

Chairman

This page is left intentionally blank



# Agenda Item 5

Report No.  
RES13189

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent                      Non-Executive                      Non-Key

**Title:** **MATTERS ARISING**

**Contact Officer:** Steve Wood, Democratic Services Officer  
Tel: 020 8313 4316 E-mail: [stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk](mailto:stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk)

**Chief Officer:** Mark Bowen, Director of Corporate Services

**Ward:** N/A

---

1. Reason for report

1.1 **Appendix A** updates Members on matters arising from previous meetings.

---

**2. RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 The Committee is asked to review progress on matters arising from previous meetings.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Policy/Financial/Legal/Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Previous matters arising reports and minutes of meetings.

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
  2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost
  2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable:
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Democratic Services
  4. Total current budget for this head: £363,070
  5. Source of funding: 2013/14 revenue budget
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 10 posts (8.55fte)
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Completion of "Matters Arising" Reports for PP&S PDS meetings can take up to a few hours per meeting.
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable:
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): This report is intended primarily for Members of the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

<u>Minute Number/Title</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Update</u>
<b>13<sup>th</sup> March 2012</b>		
<b>206. Bethlem Royal Hospital Update</b>	<p>It was resolved that:</p> <p>(1) a complete review of the physical complex of the hospital be recommended for security purposes;</p> <p>(2) a re-write of relevant staff training procedures be recommended for consideration as staff appeared to have been too relaxed at the time of the escape incident;</p> <p>(3) the monitoring of CCTV coverage be recommended for review so that what might be taking place on the hospital site at any given time can be readily identified and action taken;</p> <p>(4) consideration be recommended to whether CCTV coverage at the hospital site can be linked to the Council's CCTV system; and</p> <p>(5) the South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust be requested to provide a briefing to the Committee's next meeting following the completion of investigations.</p>	<p>SLaM representatives attended the Committee's meeting on 18<sup>th</sup> June 2013. SLaM indicated that they:</p> <p>a) Would follow up on whether Councillors could be elected to the Trust's Council of Governors;</p> <p>b) Could provide a summary of findings to the Portfolio Holder from an independent review following the February 2012 incident. The Portfolio Holder asked that the independent review of the incident be provided before 26th July 2012.</p> <p>c) It was stated at the Committee meeting on 10/09/2013 that SLaM would imminently be considering an amendment to their constitution to facilitate the possible appointment of Councillors to their Board of Governors.</p> <p>d) It was suggested at the September Committee meeting that consideration be given to inviting SLaM to provide co-opted representation on the PPS/PDS Committee. The Chairman offered to give this consideration.</p> <p>e) It was agreed at the September meeting that the Independent Review Report on the February 2012 incident at Bethlem Royal Hospital be made available for the November meeting. and this matter be regarded as a continuing matter outstanding.</p>
<b>27<sup>th</sup> November 2012</b>		
<b>58. Tackling Gangs in</b>	It was resolved that:	This Report is scheduled to be brought before the Committee in

<b>Bromley</b>	(2) a further report be brought back at the end of a 12 month period, setting out work undertaken in tackling gang related activity within the borough.	January.
<b>72C. Putting Victims First – More Effective Responses to Anti-Social Behaviour</b>	Although the number of interventions would be reduced by the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill as it removed certain Orders and condensed layers of intervention and noting that the schedule of short, medium and longer term objectives set out at paragraph 3.3 of Report ES13015 would be contained within existing budgets, it was nevertheless recommended that an assessment be made of any additional costs potentially falling to the Council - this assessment to involve engagement with other Council Departments (including Legal) and agencies such as the police.	<p>Work is continuing to assess resource requirements as a result of measures outlined in the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill. As central government guidance has yet to be received on the new arrangements (e.g. allocation of responsibilities, definitions etc.), it has been agreed to provide the report when such information becomes available.</p> <p>The Bill is due a second reading on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2013, and is expected to receive Royal Assent in Spring 2014.</p> <p>The Report has therefore been scheduled for the March 2014 meeting. (subject to the information being available).</p>
<b>90D. Bromley Perpetrator Programme</b>	<p>RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to:</p> <p>(1) agree in principle that a perpetrator programme becomes part of the domestic abuse strategy and work plan, subject to funding from MOPAC and delivery partners; and</p> <p>(2) agree that a further report be brought back to Members with confirmation of the level of funding secured and the estimated annual cost of the programme.</p>	Report will be available for November Meeting.
<b>18<sup>th</sup> June 2013</b>		
<b>14. MOPAC Crime Prevention Fund – Bid Outcome</b>	At a meeting with the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime on 9th May 2013 the Leader of the Council and the Portfolio Holder expressed their concern over the funding decisions by MOPAC. At the meeting it was agreed that it might be possible to re-allocate the £86k <i>Substance misuse, Intensive Support Programme</i> grant to ASB initiatives, provided a new bid was submitted and approved. A new bid had been submitted but no formal	An updated MOPAC report will be presented before the November Committee.

	<p>MOPAC decision had been received on the re-allocation.</p> <p>It was also resolved <i>inter-alia</i> that:</p> <p>(2) a report be submitted in 12 months detailing progress on the funded projects.</p>	<p>A report will be scheduled for the Committee's meeting in June 2014.</p>
<p><b>15. Enforcement Activity - October 2012 - March 2013</b></p>	<p>RESOLVED that:</p> <p>Further reports be received every six months on activity related to the Portfolio Plan and enforcement under delegated powers.</p>	<p>A report on enforcement activity 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013 to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2013 is scheduled for the Committee's meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013.</p>
<p><b>16. Bromley Youth Council Manifesto 2013/14</b></p>	<p>The Youth Council would produce a mid term progress report in October 2013 (for the Mental Health manifesto campaign). An end of Year Report would also look at the impact of the campaign as well as reporting individual and group outcomes and achievements. This would be the subject of a briefing for elected members in early 2014.</p>	<p>BYC to take forward</p>
<p><b>18. Work Programme and Contracts Register</b></p>	<p>An update report on the Summer Diversionary Programme would be provided to the November meeting.</p> <p>On enforcement matters the Public Protection and Safety and Environment Portfolios were working together on increased enforcement activity which would lead to high profile prosecutions in some cases. The emphasis would be on enviro-crime with a message that if waste is illegally dumped, enforcement activity will follow.</p> <p>A progress report on delivery against the MOPAC funded programme would be added to the Committee's 5th November meeting.</p>	<p>Please see item 15 on current agenda</p> <p>Please see item 11 of the current meeting agenda.</p> <p>Please see item 12 on current agenda</p>
<p><b>19. Member visits</b></p>	<p>For a visit to the London Ambulance Centre Control Room at Waterloo (to be arranged), the Chairman, Councillor Gordon Norrie, Councillor Douglas Auld, Councillor Peter Fookes, Dr Robert Hadley, Councillor</p>	<p>A visit had been arranged for interested Members to visit the main Ambulance Control Centre at Waterloo on 11/10/2013.</p>

	<p>Harry Stranger and Abdulla Zaman expressed a wish to attend.</p> <p>The possibility of visiting one or more Youth Centre Hubs and the Youth Offending Team had also been suggested and the Chairman, Councillor Gordon Norrie, Councillor Douglas Auld, Councillor Peter Fookes and Samantha Popely expressed a wish to attend.</p>	<p>Clarification required if this is still to be pursued.</p>
<b>10<sup>th</sup> September 2013</b>		
<b>35: Work Programme</b>	<p>Councillor Fookes suggested that the CPS be asked to give a presentation.</p>	<p>Agreed that this should be pursued and the CPS to be invited. Invitation has been sent.</p>
<b>29B: Increase in Enforcement Activity Initiative</b>	<p>Issue of obstacles to working constructively with TFL CCTV raised by Councillor Nicholas Bennett</p>	<p>Verbal update may be available.</p>

Report No.  
ES13113

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder

**For Pre-decision scrutiny by the Public Protection & Safety PDS Committee on**

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

**Title:** BUDGET MONITORING 2013/14

**Contact Officer:** Claire Martin, Head of Finance  
Tel: 020 8313 4286 E-mail: Claire.martin@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environmental and Community Services

**Ward:** Boroughwide

---

1. Reason for report

This report provides an update of the latest budget monitoring position for 2013/14 for the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio based on expenditure and activity levels up to 30th September 2013. This shows a projected underspend of £10k.

It reports the level of expenditure and progress with the implementation of the selected project within the Member Priority Initiatives and provides details of the latest expenditure within the Community Safety Budget as set out in Appendix 3.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 **The Portfolio Holder is requested to:**

2.1.1 **Endorse the latest 2013/14 budget projection for the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio.**

2.1.2 **Note the progress of the implementation of the targeted Neighbourhood activity project.**

2.2 **The PDS Committee is asked to comment on the allocation of Community Safety expenditure as set out in Appendix 3.**

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy: Sound financial management
  2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable
  2. Ongoing costs: Recurring Cost
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection & Safety Portfolio Budgets and earmarked reserve for Members Priority Initiatives
  4. Total current budget for this head: £3.5m and £150k
  5. Source of funding: Existing revenue budgets 2013/14 and the earmarked reserve for Member Priority Initiatives
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 59 ftes
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement: The statutory duties relating to financial reporting are covered within the Local Government Act 1972; the Local Government Finance Act 1998; the Accounts and Audit Regulations 1996; the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government Act 2002
  2. Call-in: Applicable
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): The services covered in this report affect all Council Taxpayers, Business Ratepayers, those who owe general income to the Council, all staff, Members and Pensioners.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:



### 3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 The 2013/14 projected outturn is detailed in Appendix 1, with a forecast of projected spend for each division compared to the latest approved budget and identifies in full the reason for any variances.
- 3.2 Costs attributable to individual services have been classified as “controllable” and “non-controllable” in Appendix 1. Budget holders have full responsibility for those budgets classified as “controllable” as any variations relate to those factors over which the budget holder has, in general, direct control. “Non-controllable” budgets are those which are managed outside of individual budget holder’s service and, as such, cannot be directly influenced by the budget holder in the shorter term. These include, for example, building maintenance costs and property rents which are managed by the Property Division but are allocated within individual departmental/portfolio budgets to reflect the full cost of the service. As such, any variations arising are shown as “non-controllable” within services but “controllable” within the Resources Portfolio. Other examples include cross departmental recharges and capital financing costs. This approach, which is reflected in financial monitoring reports to budget holders, should ensure clearer accountability by identifying variations within the service that controls financial performance. Members should specifically refer to the “controllable” budget variations relating to portfolios in considering financial performance. These variations will include the costs related to the recession.
- 3.3 Council on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2012 approved the setting aside of £2,260k in an earmarked reserve for Member Priority Initiatives. The Public and Protection and Safety Portfolio is responsible for the delivery of one of the projects – Targeted Neighbourhood Activity with an allocation of £150k.
- 3.4 Appendix 2 has the details of the progress of this scheme.
- 3.5 Within the 2013/14 Community Safety Budget there are a number of budgets that are subject to Portfolio Holder authorisation and for information these budgets are listed below: -

#### Expenditure requiring Portfolio Holder approval

	2013/14 Budget £	Allocation Agreed to Date £	Current Bids £	Balance of Budget Unallocated £
Portfolio Holder Initiative Fund Grants	53,530	39,787	0	13,743
Youth Diversion Expenditure	58,250	46,834	0	11,416
Safer Neighbourhood Development Grants	40,000	31,345	4,560	4,095
Operation Payback	8,400	8,400	0	0
	<b>160,180</b>	<b>126,366</b>	<b>4,560</b>	<b>29,254</b>

### 4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Resources Portfolio Plan includes the aim of effective monitoring and control of expenditure within budget and includes the target that each service department will spend within its own budget.
- 4.2 Bromley’s Best Value Performance Plan “Making a Difference” refers to the Council’s intention to remain amongst the lowest Council Tax levels in outer London and the importance of greater focus on priorities.
- 4.3 The four year financial forecast report highlights the financial pressures facing the Council. It remains imperative that strict budgetary control continues to be exercised in 2013/14 to minimise the risk of compounding financial pressures in future years.
- 4.4 Chief Officers and Departmental Heads of Finance are continuing to place emphasis on the need for strict compliance with the Council’s budgetary control and monitoring arrangements.

## 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The latest projections from managers show that a projected underspend of £10k is expected for the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio for 2013/14.
- 5.2 The projected variance has arisen due to an underspend within the staffing budget of £31k which is offsetting the projected shortfalls of income from the provision of CCTV services to registered social landlords of Dr £14k and Dr £7k from scientific sciences. More details of the reasons for the variances are included in Appendix 1.
- 5.3 Appendix 2 shows that an amount of £58k has been spent/committed for the Targeted Neighbourhood Activity project.
- 5.4 To date, a total of £126,366 has been committed/spent from the community safety budgets as detailed in Appendix 3, leaving an unspent balance of £33,814. A bid of £4,560 for a dog microchip service has been submitted to be considered by the Portfolio Holder.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal, Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	2013/14 budget monitoring files within ECS finance section

**Public Protection & Safety Budget Monitoring Summary as at 30th September 2013**

2012/13 Actuals £'000	Division Service Areas	2013/14 Original Budget £'000	2013/14 Latest Approved £'000	2013/14 Projection £'000	Variation £'000	Notes	Variation Last Reported £'000	Full Year Effect £'000
573	Public Protection Community Safety	430	432	432	0	1	0	0
297	Mortuary & Coroners Service	339	338	338	0		0	0
2,438	Public Protection	2,456	2,491	2,481	(10)	2	(10)	0
<b>3,308</b>	<b>TOTAL CONTROLLABLE</b>	<b>3,225</b>	<b>3,261</b>	<b>3,251</b>	<b>(10)</b>		<b>(10)</b>	<b>0</b>
298	<b>TOTAL NON CONTROLLABLE</b>	6	6	6	0		0	0
321	<b>TOTAL EXCLUDED RECHARGES</b>	229	217	217	0		0	0
<b>3,927</b>	<b>PORTFOLIO TOTAL</b>	<b>3,460</b>	<b>3,484</b>	<b>3,474</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Reconciliation of latest approved budget****£'000****Original budget 2013/14****3,460**

Allocation of Localisation &amp; Conditions Pay Award

26

Budget transfer within ECS department

(2)

**Latest Approved Budget for 2013/14****3,484****Budget Monitoring Notes - 30th September 2013****1. Community Safety £0k**

The projected overspend on staffing of £46k due to the late notification of revised MOPAC funding has been funded by the agreed diversion of the Prevent monies of Cr £46k.

There is likely to be a net surplus of Cr £10k within Public Protection. This is due to the secondment of the Head of Public Health Nuisance to Executive Assistant for most of 2013/14 offset by the effect of delays in implementing the budget options for 2013/14. This has resulted in a net underspend of Cr £31k. This is partly offsetting a projected shortfall in income of £21k. £14k of which relates to the provision of CCTV to registered social landlords and £7k relates to scientific services income.

**Details of virements since last monitoring report**

None

**Analysis of Members' Initiatives - Earmarked Reserves as at 30th September 2011**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Divison / Service Area</b>	<b>Responsible Officer</b>	<b>Allocation £'000</b>	<b>Spend To Date £'000</b>	<b>Commitments £'000</b>	<b>Total Spend &amp; Commitments £'000</b>	<b>Balance Available £'000</b>	<b>Comments on Progress of Scheme</b>
Targetted Neighbourhood Activity	PPS - Public Health Complaints & Anti-Social Behaviour	Jim McGowan	150	35	23	58	92	Officers are currently investigating whether gating is required in some areas within Mottingham.
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>150</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>92</b>	

## Portfolio Holder Funds 2013/14

## 2013/14 SUMMARY

	Budget Allocation £	Actual Spend £	C'mitmnts To date £	Current Bids £	Budget Balance £
<b>Portfolio Holder Initiative Fund Grants (£53,530)</b>					
Operation Condor- Licensing Visits		0	2,400	0	
Best Bar None		15,000	0	0	
Mottingham Community Day		816	184	0	
Cray Festival Part 1		1,012	0	0	
Cray Festival Part 2		0	375	0	
Enforcement project		0	20,000	0	
	<b>53,530</b>	<b>16,828</b>	<b>22,959</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13,743</b>
<b>Youth Diversion Expenditure (£58,250)</b>					
Summer Diversion Activities		42,000	0	0	
Youth Manifesto		0	1,654	0	
Junior Citizen		0	1,980	0	
Junior Citizen		0	1,200	0	
	<b>58,250</b>	<b>42,000</b>	<b>4,834</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11,416</b>
<b>Safer Neighbourhood Grants (£40,000)</b>					
Doorstep Crime Rapid Response Awareness		0	3,845	0	
Crime Summit		0	2,500	0	
Dog Microchip service bid (awaiting sign off)		0	0	4,560	
Keep Safe booklet		2,998	3,002	0	
LFB Impact Factor & LIFE programme		0	15,000	0	
Operation Crystal clean up fund		0	4,000	0	
	<b>40,000</b>	<b>2,998</b>	<b>28,347</b>	<b>4,560</b>	<b>4,095</b>
<b>Operation Payback (£8,400)</b>	<b>8,400</b>	<b>4,200</b>	<b>4,200</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
					<b>0</b>
<b>Total Portfolio Holder's Grants 2013/14</b>	<b>160,180</b>	<b>66,026</b>	<b>60,340</b>	<b>4,560</b>	<b>29,254</b>

This page is left intentionally blank

Report No.  
ES13118

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder

**For Pre-decision scrutiny by the PP&S PDS Committee on**

**Date:** 5th November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Executive Key

**Title:** **REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM TENDERING FOR DOMESTIC ABUSE PERPETRATOR PROGRAMME**

**Contact Officer:** Clare Elcombe, Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator  
Tel: 020 8313 4290 E-mail: clare.elcombe@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community Services

**Ward:** All

---

1. Reason for report

To set out the background and current funding situation for the Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Programme and present reasons for the request that the project be exempt from usual tendering procedures.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- a. That permission is given to exempt the project from the usual tendering process.
- b. That approval is given to award the contract for the Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Programme to TRYangle for 2013/14-2016/17 (backdated) subject to performance and continued MOPAC funding.

## Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy: This project falls within the Community Safety Strategy, Domestic Abuse Strategy, and Domestic Abuse and Violence Against Women & Girls Strategy (due to be published April 2014)
  2. BBB Priority: Safer Bromley: This project improves safety for victims of domestic abuse, any children in the family and increases conviction rates for perpetrators.
- 

## Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Estimated Cost: up to £120k over four years from 2013/14
  2. Ongoing costs: Non-Recurring Cost: Contract to run until 2016/17 subject to performance and ongoing MOPAC funding.
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection & Safety Portfolio Budgets
  4. Total current budget for this head: £120k
  5. Source of funding: MOPAC Grant and Police contribution
- 

## Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): No LBB staff.
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Approximately 4hrs per week of Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator's time to manage contract.
- 

## Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Non-Statutory - Government Guidance:  
This project falls under regional strategy from MOPAC - "The Way Forward: Taking action to end violence against women and girls 2010 - 2013" (updated version due to be published November 2013) and national strategy from the Home Office "Ending violence against women and girls: action plan 2013"
  2. Call-in: Applicable:
- 

## Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): Targeted 30 male perpetrators plus their partners or ex-partners per year; with indirect benefits for any children in the families.
- 

## Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:



### 3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 Funding has been secured through the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) to fund a full perpetrator programme as piloted in the borough in 2012/13. The programme is to be delivered across Bromley and Lewisham and funded equally by each borough.
- 3.2 A pilot programme was commissioned following several audits in the borough which revealed that although victims of domestic abuse have good access to services in Bromley, there was no provision for perpetrators of domestic abuse who wish to change their behaviour; that approximately 60% of child protection cases in Bromley feature significant levels of domestic abuse, and that over 60% of all contacts to Children's Social Care are from Police identifying concerns for children as a result of domestic abuse. In consultations with Children's Social Care social workers consistently stressed the need for perpetrator provision in the borough, recounting a significant number of families where the perpetrator is willing to engage in services, but that there are none which they are able to offer.
- 3.3 Additionally the Police identified informally that a number of men in Bromley can be considered 'serial perpetrators', in that they have been abusive of more than one partner, and although services have been successful in supporting their victims, there are no services available should they wish to change their behaviour.
- 3.4 The pilot was commissioned on the basis that perpetrator work is essential in order to tackle domestic abuse at its source, preventing re-offending and re-victimisation and reducing the number of children subject to child protection proceedings due to domestic abuse.
- 3.5 The 2012/2013 a pilot was jointly funded by Children's Social Care, Community Safety and Bromley Metropolitan Police. This programme was favourably evaluated - although the sample was small it illustrated that 75% of the couples who engaged reported reduced or eliminated levels of abuse three months after the programme finished.
- 3.6 MOPAC funding has been secured for 66% of the Bromley funding for the programme – for 2013/14 the Police have already contributed the additional funding and work is in progress to identify funds for 2014/15-2016/17.
- 3.7 The programme is being split equally in access and funding with the London Borough of Lewisham. This approach has meant a full programme can be commissioned serving both boroughs and eliminating any need for clients to wait in order to be able to join the programme. The project will comprise of a 24-week rolling programme for male perpetrators of domestic abuse, along with up to 20 individual counselling sessions for each participant. This programme will challenge abusive beliefs and behaviours, examine communication skills and anger management techniques and seek to raise understanding of the effects of abuse on children in the household. A parallel support group will run for their female partners or ex-partners to enhance their safety by increasing their knowledge of domestic abuse, raise their self-esteem and provide safety-planning advice. Up to 20 individual counselling sessions will also be available to the women and they will have access to a support worker in between sessions.
- 3.8 The following targets have been agreed with MOPAC as outcomes for the Bromley users of the project, and these will be reported quarterly and reviewed with MOPAC regarding ongoing funding:

<b>Measure</b>	<b>Baseline</b>	<b>2013/14 Target</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
Number of referrals	16 referrals (pilot)	100 minimum	Referral statistics
Percentage of referrals engaged	25%	>40%	Referral statistics
Percentage of referrals completing	25%	>30%	Referral statistics
Perpetrator's assessment of changes in their behaviour	75%	>70% state reduced abusive behaviours	Self-reported
Victim's feelings of safety	N/A	>70% report increased feelings of safety	Self-reported
Referring professional's assessment of changes in behaviour	N/A	>60% state reduced abusive behaviours	Referrer
Referring professional's assessment of impact on any children	N/A	>60% report positive impact on children	Referrer
Any changes in child protection involvement	N/A	>70% have reduced child protection involvement	Referrer
Referrals to MARAC for families engaged in the programme	N/A	>80% have no MARAC referrals within a year of completing programme	MARAC coordinator
Police involvement	N/A	>80% have no Police involvement within a year of completing programme	MARAC coordinator

3.9 It is requested that this project be exempt from the full tendering process for the following reasons:

- TRYangle were commissioned for the pilot programme on the basis of being the only local provider for this specialised work.
- TRYangle have already been engaged by Lewisham through their procurement process, and began delivering the programme for both boroughs in April 2013 pending confirmation of funding from MOPAC.
- The grant from MOPAC was approved on the basis that TRYangle are the preferred provider.
- There are no identified local organisations with the knowledge or partnerships necessary to deliver this service.

#### **4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

4.1 This project falls within the Community Safety Strategy, Domestic Abuse Strategy, and Domestic Abuse and Violence Against Women & Girls Strategy (due to be published April 2014).

## 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 In accordance with 13.1 of the Council's Contract Procedure Rules, approval is sought from the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder for the exemption of the project from competitive tendering process. The reasons are set out in 3.9 of the report.
- 5.2 The value of the contract is estimated to be up to £120k, fully funded from MOPAC grant and a contribution from the Police covering a four year period, subject to the delivery of specific agreed outcomes.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Personnel and Legal Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	

This page is left intentionally blank

Report No.  
ES13117

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder

**For pre-decision scrutiny by the PP&S PDS Committee on**

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Executive Key

**Title:** **REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM TENDERING FOR DOMESTIC ABUSE ADVOCACY PROJECT**

**Contact Officer:** Clare Elcombe, Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator  
Tel: 020 8313 4290 E-mail: clare.elcombe@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community services

**Ward:** All

---

1. Reason for report

To set out the background and current funding situation for the Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project and present reasons for the request that the project be exempt from usual tendering procedures.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- a. That permission is given to exempt the project from the usual tendering process.
- b. That approval is given to award the contract for the Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project to Victim Support for 2014/15-2016/17 subject to performance and continued MOPAC funding.

## Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy:  
This project falls within the Community Safety Strategy, Domestic Abuse Strategy, and Domestic Abuse and Violence Against Women & Girls Strategy (due to be published April 2014)
  2. BBB Priority: Safer Bromley : This project improves safety for victims of domestic abuse, any children in the family and increases conviction rates for perpetrators.
- 

## Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Estimated Cost : Up to £360k over three years from 2014/15
  2. Ongoing costs: Non-Recurring Cost : Contract to run until 2016/17 subject to performance and ongoing MOPAC funding.
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection & Safety Portfolio Budgets
  4. Total current budget for this head: £360k
  5. Source of funding: MOPAC Grant and Police contribution
- 

## Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): No LBB staff.
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Approximately 4hrs per week of Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator's time to manage contract.
- 

## Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Non-Statutory - Government Guidance:  
This project falls under regional strategy from MOPAC - "The Way Forward: Taking action to end violence against women and girls 2010 - 2013" (updated version due to be published November 2013) and national strategy from the Home Office "Ending violence against women and girls: action plan 2013"
  2. Call-in: Applicable
- 

## Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): Average of 200 victims referred each year, plus 1000 young people attending workshops. Total expected 3,600 over the life of the contract; with additional impact on an estimated 300 perpetrators and 1,200 children of victims.
- 

## Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

### 3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 Funding has been secured through the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) to continue to deliver the Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project (DAAP) which has been in place in the borough since 2007. This project will amalgamate two separate agreements previously funded through LAA reward monies and Community Safety.
- 3.2 The project will employ three Independent Domestic Abuse Advocates (IDAAs) who will work predominantly with high risk victims of domestic abuse involved in the criminal justice system, and additionally provide support for medium-high risk victims who have not reported to the Police, provide preventative and awareness work with young people in the borough, and work closely with colleagues in health to improve identification and early intervention through health services. The service will be arranged so approximately 2.0 FTE IDAAs are allocated to Police and criminal justice work, based primarily in the Police Station and receiving the majority of their referrals from the Police; with 1.0 FTE IDAA providing community, health, and preventative work.
- 3.3 This project exists to increase victim safety and improve conviction rates for domestic abuse crimes by providing dedicated support, advocacy and advice to victims from their first point of contact with the Police and to victims in the community. 3.4 The Home Office initiative Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) in Bromley has been established since 2007 and consistently performed above the targets set by Coordinated Action Against Domestic Abuse (CAADA) for reducing repeat victimisation; with national data showing an average of 24% repeats and Bromley performing at 14% in 2012/13 – indicating that the interventions put in place are effective in reducing risk. The MARAC model recommended the appointment of IDAAs to provide independent risk assessment and support to victims, guide them through the criminal justice system and provide the victims' voice at MARAC meetings. One of the core outcomes for IDAA work is to reduce victims withdrawing support for prosecutions – the most significant reason for domestic abuse cases discontinuing.
- 3.4 IDAAs in Bromley have made a significant positive difference to conviction rates for domestic abuse crimes in the borough. Prior to the project beginning in April 2007 domestic abuse conviction rates averaged 12% (the accepted baseline rate at the beginning of the project), below is the progression since then, with performance consistently exceeding the target to increase convictions by 0.5% per year.

<b>Year</b>	<b>Domestic Abuse Conviction Rate</b>
2006/07	12.00%
2007/08	53.91%
2008/09	56.58%
2009/10	58.02%
2010/11	59.31%
2011/12	60.27%
2012/13	64.70%
2013/14 Q1	69.00%

- 3.5 In addition to conviction outcomes national research by CAADA has found that IDAA intervention results in a swift reduction of risk for victims, and that at point of exit from the service 63% of victims were not experiencing any abuse. 80% reported a reduction in physical abuse, 84% a reduction in sexual abuse, 63% a reduction in harassment and stalking, and 68% a reduction in jealous and controlling behaviours. Along with self-reporting CAADA found that IDAAs judged that there was moderate or significant reduction in risk for 74% of victims; and that this risk reduction was sustainable in medium to long term for 71% of those.

- 3.6 Subject to new arrangements with Kings having taken over the Princess Royal University Hospital it is planned that an IDAA can be located in A&E one day a week, extending this provision into ante-natal services if it is successful after one year. Locating an IDVA in health services is a national recommendations from CAADA in order to improve outcomes for the most vulnerable and hard to reach victims. CAADA estimate that vulnerable victims and those with complex needs are most likely to be identified through health settings and that this project will improve early intervention and therefore risk reduction. (CAADA – A Place of Greater Safety – Nov 2012). In addition this collocation will provide health staff with a greater awareness of domestic abuse and training will be given as part of the implementation of this project.
- 3.7 The following targets and outcomes have been agreed with MOPAC as measures of success and these will be reported quarterly and on-going targets se.

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	2014/15 Target	2015/16 Target	2016/17 Target	Evidence
Increase in conviction rate by 0.5% per year	64.7% (2012/13)	65.2%	65.7%	66.2%	66.7%	CPS
Increase in MARAC referrals from health services	Baseline TBD	+5 (TBD)	TBD	TBD	TBD	MARAC records
Delivery of prevention workshops to young people	N/A	1000	1100	1210	1331	Victim Support records
Satisfaction with service (>80% satisfaction)	N/A	>80%	TBD	TBD	TBD	Self-reported
Feelings of safety (>60% report increase)	N/A	>60%	TBD	TBD	TBD	Self-reported
Awareness of help available (>60% report increase)	N/A	>60%	TBD	TBD	TBD	Self-reported
Confidence (>60% report increase)	N/A	>60%	TBD	TBD	TBD	Self-reported
Feeling positive about the future (>60% report increase)	N/A	>60%	TBD	TBD	TBD	Self-reported

3.8 An agreement is already in place for two thirds of this project (funded through LAA reward monies) until 31/03/14, and a top-up amount has been awarded by MOPAC for this year to allow a full service to be delivered. These are subject to separate service level agreements and this report pertains to the creation of a new contract for the entire service to run from April 2014 to March 2017 subject to performance and continued MOPAC funding.

3.9 It is requested that this project be exempt from the full tendering process for the following reasons:

- Victim Support have delivered the project successfully since 2007, exceeding all targets. As there were serious delays in MOPAC completing the grant process Victim Support have continued to provide this service from April 2013 to date without any contract in order to maintain continuity of support for victims.
- The grant from MOPAC was approved on the basis that Victim Support are the preferred provider.
- A critical factor in the successful delivery of this project is co-location in the Police Station with access to Police systems allowing them to track cases and keep victims informed – this access is difficult to set up and Victim Support are a trusted partner of the Police.
- There are no identified local organisations with the knowledge or partnerships necessary to deliver this service.



- Victim Support are the most prolific deliverer of domestic abuse advocacy services across London, managing XX advocates across XX boroughs.

#### 4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 This project falls under regional strategy from MOPAC – “The Way Forward: Taking action to end violence against women and girls 2010 – 2013” (updated version due to be published November 2013) and national strategy from the Home Office “Ending violence against women and girls: action plan 2013”.

#### 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 For 2013/14 the project is being delivered through two separate agreements and is being funded from LAA Reward grant £80k, Home Office grant £20k, Police contribution of up to £6k and MOPAC grant of £21k.
- 5.2 In accordance with 13.1 of the Council’s Contract Procedure Rules, approval is sought from the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Holder to the exemption of the project from the competitive tendering process. The reasons are set out in 3.9 of the report.
- 5.3 The value of the contract is estimated to be up to £360k over a three year period from April 2014 to March 2017, fully funded by MOPAC grant and a contribution from the Police, subject to the delivery of specific agreed outcomes.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal and Personnel Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	

This page is left intentionally blank

# Agenda Item 11

Report No.  
ES13120

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee

**Date:** 5 November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

**Title:** PORTFOLIO PLAN UPDATE AND ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY –  
APRIL 2013-SEPT 2013

**Contact Officer:** Clive Davison, Assistant Director Public Protection  
Tel: 020 8313 4688 E-mail: clive.davison@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community Services

**Ward:** All wards

---

1. Reason for report

To advise Members of the activity undertaken by the Public Protection Division during the periods 1 April 2013 to 30 September 2013 relating to the annual Portfolio Plan and enforcement under delegated powers.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

Members are asked to:

- 2.1 Comment on the contents of this report;
- 2.2 Agree to receive further reports, every six months, on the activity relating to the Portfolio Plan and enforcement under delegated powers.

## Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
  2. BBB Priority: Quality Environment Safer Bromley Vibrant, Thriving Town Centres
- 

## Financial

1. Cost of proposal: See total budget – all services have an enforcement element
  2. Ongoing costs: Recurring Cost
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Budgets
  4. Total current budget for this head: £3.261m
  5. Source of funding: Existing controllable revenue budgets 2013/14
- 

## Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 59ftes
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Not applicable
- 

## Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory Requirement. Numerous statutes covering Public Health and Safety, Environmental Protection, Licensing, Consumer Protection, Anti-Social Behaviour, Food Safety and Control of Communicable Disease, etc.
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable
- 

## Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): All of the Council's customers (including Council tax payers) and users of the service.
- 

## Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: Not Applicable

### 3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 At the meeting of the Public Protection and Safety, Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee on 15 November 2007 Members agreed they should receive reports of the enforcement activity undertaken by the Public Protection division on a six-monthly basis. On 22 October 2010 the Portfolio Holder of the Public Protection and Safety, Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee requested that this report should also include an update of Portfolio Plan activity.
- 3.2 The enforcement activity for the period 1 April 2013 to 30 September 2013 is set out in Appendix A to this report. This covers Public Protection enforcement (i.e. Environmental Protection, Food Safety, Public Health and Safety, Licensing, Trading Standards and Anti-Social Behaviour).
- 3.3 The Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan activity between 1 April 2013 and 30 September 2013 is set out in Appendix B to this report.

### 4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 Enforcement activity is undertaken in accordance with the agreed Enforcement Policy:
  - a. The Public Protection Division undertakes its regulatory functions in accordance with risk assessment criteria, ensuring that service resources are focused upon those activities or practices that present the greatest risk to public health, safety or potential economic loss to the customer.
  - b. Consistency of approach aims to ensure that officers are consistent in the exercise of their discretion to achieve similar ends in similar circumstances, irrespective of which officer deals with the matter.
  - c. It is important to the service that people understand what is expected of them and what they should expect from the Council. This includes making it clear between statutory requirements (what they have to do) and, where relevant, what they do not have to do (advice or guidance on good practice).
  - d. Where enforcement action is necessary, officers will take appropriate action dependent upon the seriousness of any breach of the law. The action that they take will be proportionate to the seriousness of any breach of the law relating to the health, safety, quality of life or economic position of the local and business community.

### 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 All enforcement activity is undertaken within existing resources and agreed grant allocation.

### 6. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 All enforcement activity is undertaken within existing resources and agreed grant allocation.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Not applicable

This page is left intentionally blank

**PUBLIC PROTECTION ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY - STATUTORY NOTICES**

Legislation	Description	NOTICES 01/04/12- 30/09/12	NOTICES 01/10/12- 31/03/13	NOTICES 01/04/13- 30/09/13
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Noise from amplified music (domestic premises)	6	6	<b>14</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Noise from amplified music (commercial premises)	3	4	<b>3</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Noise from intruder/vehicle alarms	8	6	<b>4</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Other noise	3	2	<b>4</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Bonfires	5	9	<b>3</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Other nuisance	0	1	<b>0</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Nuisance from premises	1	2	<b>0</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Nuisance from light	0	1	<b>0</b>
Environmental Protection Act S.80	Nuisance from accumulations	13	17	<b>10</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990	Provision of waste receptacles	0	1	<b>2</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990	Litter	12	14	<b>4</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990	Waste Transfer documentation	2	5	<b>3</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.71	Request for information (relating to flytipping)	4	2	<b>6</b>
Environmental Protection Act 1990 S.80	Nuisance from dog barking	0	0	<b>5</b>
Prevention of Damage by Pests Act 1949 S.04	Removal of rubbish and treatment for pests	39	42	<b>37</b>
Public Health Act 1936 S.287	Notice of intention to enter premises	2	4	<b>5</b>
Public Health Act 1936 S.83	Filthy and verminous premises	4	6	<b>3</b>
Public Health Act 1936 S.78	Cleansing alleyways	5	0	<b>23</b>
Control of Pollution Act 1960 S.60	Pollution from construction sites (noise/dust, etc)	2	2	<b>2</b>
Local Government (Misc Provisions) Act 1976 S.16	Requisition for information	7	17	<b>16</b>
Local Government (Misc Provisions) Act 1976 S.20	Sanitary accommodation	0	0	<b>0</b>
Local Government (Misc Provisions) Act 1976 S.35	Clearing obstructions in drains	1	0	<b>0</b>

Local Government (Misc Provisions) Act 1976 S.29	Securing empty premises	1	0	<b>0</b>
Housing Act 2004	Improvement notice	1	2	<b>1</b>
Housing Act 2004	Prohibition notice	0	1	<b>1</b>
Housing Act 2004	Hazard Awareness	0	1	<b>1</b>
Housing Act 2004	Decision to grant a (HMO) licence	0	9	<b>10</b>
Housing Act 2004	Proposal to grant a (HMO) licence	0	8	<b>11</b>
Health & Safety at Work etc Act 1974	Prohibition Notices	6	6	<b>2</b>
Health & Safety at Work etc Act 1974	Improvement notices	0	6	<b>1</b>
Food Safety – Food Hygiene Regulations	Food Hygiene Improvement Notices	14	21	<b>12</b>

### **ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY**

Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003	Acceptable Behaviour Commitments (ABC's) served	16	10	<b>3</b>
Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003	Early intervention warning notices	n/a	n/a	<b>3</b>
Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003	ASBOs served	3	0	<b>0</b>

### **REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY POWERS ACT 2000**

Age-Related Sales Legislation	Test purchasing campaigns	2	2	<b>0</b>
Food Safety and Licensing	Food hygiene	0	1	<b>0</b>
Anti-Social Behaviour Act	Collection of evidence	2	1	<b>0</b>
Trading Standards	Collection of evidence – communications data	0	1	<b>2</b>

### **PROSECUTIONS (All heard at Bromley Magistrates Court unless otherwise stated)**

Legislation	Description	Penalty
Fraud Act 2006	Trading Standards investigation: Bromley plumber charged with two offences in connection with a gross over-charge, where elderly victim charged for unnecessary work.	Sent to Croydon Crown Court for sentencing
Environmental Protection Act 1990 Section 33 (1) (a)	Illegal deposit of waste (10 offences)	Costs £6,031; clear-up costs £2,184; 240 hours community service
Local Government Act 1976 Section 16 (miscellaneous provisions)	Failure to provide information necessary for the investigation	Fine £1,000 + £120; costs £853
Environmental Protection Act 1990 Section 79 (g)	Failure to comply with a Section 80 abatement notice (noise – 4 offences)	Fines £900, £200, £300, £400; costs £1,464
Environmental Protection Act 1990 Section 79 (g)	Failure to comply with a Section 80 abatement notice (noise)	Fines £750 + £75 victim surcharge; costs £954



## **PROSECUTIONS PENDING**

Proceeds of Crime Act 2002	Trading Standards investigation: money laundering in connection with a bogus builder scam.
Proceeds of Crime Act 2002	Trading Standards investigation: confiscation hearing under the Proceeds of Crime Act. Follows conviction of a money launderer under the act for offences related to wide scale bogus building scam.
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008 /Fraud Act/ Personal Protective Equipment ('PPE') Regulations 2002	Trading Standards investigation into the supply of unsafe escape respirator (hood): multiple charges have been laid against the director and company.

## **TRADING STANDARDS FORMAL CAUTIONS/UNDERTAKING/ASSURANCE GIVEN UNDER THE ENTERPRISE ACT 2002**

The Cancellation of Contracts made in a Consumer's Home or Place of Work etc. Regulations (2008)	9 assurances or written warnings: failure to give a consumer statutory notice regarding cancellation rights
Licensing Act 2003	1 x warning in relation to the sale of alcohol to person under 18
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008/Unfair Terms in consumer Contracts Regulations 1999	2 x warnings in relation to the attempt to restrict consumer's rights through use of unfair terms
Trade Marks Act 1994	4 x warnings in relation to offering to sell counterfeit goods
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008/Trade Marks Act 1994	3 x assurance of future conduct/warning in relation to the unlawful use of a trade association logo
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008	1 x warning in relation to the misleading omission relating to a consumer transaction
Toy Safety Regulations 2013	1 x warning in relation to offering to supply unsafe toys
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008	1 x warning in relation to an unfair trading practice
Consumer Protection from Unfair Trading Regulations 2008	1 x warning in relation to an aggressive commercial practice
General Product Safety Regulations	1 x warning in relation to the supply of unsafe sunglasses
Licensing Act 2006	4 x simple caution following sale of alcohol to a minor
Trade Marks Act 1994	1 x simple caution in relation to possession of counterfeit goods
Tobacco (Safety) Regulations 2007	1 x simple caution in relation to sale of illicit tobacco products

## **WORKS IN DEFAULT**

No. of works in default undertaken	7
No. of noise equipment seizures undertaken	1

## **LICENSING REVIEWS**

Expedited review of Black Horse, Main Road, Biggin Hill	23 May 2013	Expedited review of licence by Met Police following drugs raid. Licence was suspended initially
---------------------------------------------------------	-------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

		at the expedited review hearing, then allowed to continue at the full hearing.
News Plus, 117 Maple Road, Penge.	12 June 2013	Review brought by Trading Standards for underage sales.

### **LICENSING APPLICATION HEARD**

	Applications heard by the Licensing Sub Committee / Appeals at Magistrates Court	Type of application and outcome
16/04/2013	Sainsbury's, Beckenham	Following consultation by Solicitors acting on behalf of Sainsbury's, the variation application made in respect of Sainsbury's, 181, High Street, Beckenham, Kent, BR3 1AH for variation of hours for the sale of alcohol has been withdrawn.
16/04/2013	Tesco, Holmsdale Road	Variation to licensed hours granted with conditions
16/04/2013	Co-Op, Cotmandene Crescent	Variation to licensed hours granted after objections withdrawn
30/04/2013	Sainsbury's, West Wickham	Variation to licensed hours and addition of late-night refreshment withdrawn
30/04/2013	Black Horse, Main Road, Biggin Hill	Expedited review by Police (Crime & Disorder). Licence suspended at the interim hearing. At the full review the licence was restored and conditions added.
30/04/2013	Sainsbury's, 73 High Street, Chislehurst	Variation to licensed hours and addition of late night refreshment granted as amended at the hearing
30/04/2013	Co-Op, Main Road, Biggin Hill	Variation to licensed hours and addition of late night refreshment withdrawn
29/05/2013	Deli Nene, High Street, Beckenham	New licence application, amended to remove music – granted for alcohol
12/06/2013	News Plus, 117 Maple Road, Penge	Review by Trading Standards for underage sales – conditions added
12/06/2013	Texa Jacks, 238 High Street, Bromley	Variation licence to permit recorded music: application granted.
12/06/2013	Downe Scout Activity Centre	Temporary Premises licence for bar tent for CampDowne 2013 granted
08/07/2013	Ms Kelly Hughes	Personal licence refused
08/07/2013	Refreshers, 27a Station Road, Petts Wood	New licence (off sales) granted
08/07/2013	Surf N Turf 7 Royal Parade, Chislehurst	New licence (restaurant) granted with amended hours
07/08/2013	Greyhound, High Street, Bromley	Variation to the existing licence to remove certain conditions and extend hours granted after objectors withdrew
07/08/2013	Hisar Meze Bar, High Street, Beckenham	New premises licence granted as altered at the hearing
21/08/2013	Kaplan Kebab, High Street, Beckenham	Variation to extend hours for late night refreshment granted with conditions added
06/09/2013	Old Elthamians,	Application for a premises licence amended for a

	Chislehurst	single event only granted as amended at hearing
06/09/2013	Ask, 132 High Street, Orpington	New premises licence granted
20/09/2013	Penge Conservative Club	Variation to Club Premises Licence to extend hours granted with conditions
20/09/2013	Lale Sar, 142 High Street, Beckenham	Application for new premises licence (restaurant) granted with conditions

This page is left intentionally blank

PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY  
PORTFOLIO PLAN 2013/2014

Foreword

I am proud of the fact that we live in a safe borough and the Council has continued to play a leading role in maintaining community safety and supporting residents and businesses. I understand at first hand the impact that crime and anti-social behaviour can have on people's lives, and this continues to be one of my absolute priorities.

In the past year levels of total crime have continued to fall, including target areas such as burglary making Bromley one of the safest boroughs in London. I am immensely proud of the work that the council has been able to deliver in making the borough a safer place both as the primary delivery agent and leading on the development and implementation of crime-reducing partnership activities. Even more so, I am proud of the determined effort delivered by local residents and businesses to maintain the borough's record of crime reduction. The challenge for 2013/14 is to continue to reduce crime and anti-social behaviour and increase community engagement in making the borough a safer place.

As Portfolio Holder I believe I have a lead role to focus our activities on some of the most vulnerable in our society, be they elderly residents, young people, or local traders. We know only too well the threats posed by illegal activity, and we remain committed to keeping the borough safe. As a cross-cutting Portfolio, all Departments and other Portfolios within the Council have a part to play in reducing crime and disorder and maintaining the borough's position as a safe and pleasant place to live. By ensuring that all our plans are delivered against the following priorities, we are confident that, working together, we can deliver a safer borough.

The climate continues to be financially challenging. We are working with colleagues to support the development of the Council as a commissioning authority, ensuring that excellent services are delivered in the most cost-effective, efficient way.

Priorities for 2013/14:

- Support businesses in Bromley to trade successfully within the law using multi-agency visits and by supporting schemes such as Best Bar None.
- Provide advice, guidance and support to vulnerable members of the community, who are victims or potential victims of domestic abuse, scams and doorstep crime.
- Encourage young people to achieve their potential by rejecting crime and anti-social behaviour, through positive activities such as Youth Diversion and mentoring.
- Provide clear advice, guidance and communication that supports crime prevention and reinforces confidence in the borough as a safe place to live, work and enjoy recreation.
- Explore the potential for sold services, commissioning and working with other boroughs.
- Continue to work with and support the Safer Bromley Partnership, and contribute to the Public Health agenda.

As a Portfolio, we continue to be committed to working in Partnership. Not only will we maximise the opportunities to reduce crime and disorder by engaging with other departments and teams within the Council, but we will work hard to continue to develop supportive and

productive partnerships with other agencies, such as the Police, Fire Brigade and Probation Service, to maximise the opportunity to reduce crime and disorder. Ultimately, we will also seek to develop further and build on the excellent work of our residents and communities in tackling crime and disorder.

Councillor Tim Stevens JP  
Portfolio Holder for Public Protection and Safety

Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan 2013-14				
Service Area	Public Health Nuisance and Anti-Social Behaviour			
Service Lead	Jackie Goad (currently on secondment)	e-mail jackie.goad@bromley.gov.uk		
Service Outline				
<p>The Public Health Nuisance team investigates all statutory nuisances, such as noise arising from domestic and commercial premises, accumulations of rubbish on private land, smoke and ash nuisance from domestic and commercial bonfires, obtrusive lighting, filthy and verminous premises, and incidences of fly-tipping on private land.</p> <p>The Anti-Social Behaviour team plays a key role in ensuring the Borough is a safer place, by working with the Safer Bromley Partnership to reduce crime and disorder.</p>				
2013-14 Priorities:				
<p><b>Priority 1: Action Against Noise Nuisance from Licensed Premises</b> Work with designated premises supervisors (DPS) of licensed premises to ensure that noise levels arising from regulated entertainment on the premises are controlled to ensure compliance with the premises' licences.</p> <p><b>Priority 2: Action Against Fly-tipping</b> Investigate, disrupt and take formal action against those persons involved in the illegal disposal of controlled waste while offering advice and assistance to landowners targeted by fly-tipping to prevent further incidents.</p> <p><b>Priority 3: Action Against Anti-Social Behaviour</b> Work to deliver reductions in crimes against the person, against property, and in levels of anti-social behaviour and disturbance, in accordance with new legislation. Review the service and ensure it meets the requirements of new legislation for Anti-Social Behaviour.</p>				
Measuring Success:				
	Target	2012/13 Baseline	2013/14 Target	Six-month progress report
1.1	Set and seal noise limitation devices in licensed premises	12	12	A detailed list of those that need reviewing has been compiled; action will start on setting and sealing in the next six months.
1.2	Ensure satisfactory resolution of fly-tipping complaints	N/A	100%	There have been 241 reports of fly-tipping since April, all of which have been or are being investigated as far as available evidence allows.

1.3	Percentage of applications for ASBOs made to court resulting in ASBO imposed (subject to legislative change)	N/A	100%	As a result of staffing cuts, this function cannot be carried out.
1.4	Review ASB team function in line with new legislation		Complete review	The new legislation is going through Parliament. When the Act is published, the team will assess what can be accomplished within current resource levels.



Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan 2013-14				
Service Area	Trading Standards			
Service Lead	Rob Vale	e-mail rob.vale@bromley.gov.uk		
Service Outline				
<p>The main aim of the Trading Standards service is to protect the public, in particular vulnerable consumers, and ensure there is a fair, safe and genuine trading environment in Bromley.</p>				
2013-14 Priorities:				
<p><b>Priority 1: Action Against Rogue Traders</b> Carry out a range of preventative and enforcement activity against traders who cause the most harm to Bromley's residents and businesses, particularly those traders who target vulnerable consumers.</p> <p><b>Priority 2: Promote Health and Consumer Well-Being</b> Tackle the sale of age-restricted products, particularly alcohol and tobacco; protect vulnerable consumers from scams and bogus callers; ensure goods in the marketplace are safe and genuine.</p> <p><b>Priority 3: Respond to Requests for Trading Standards Services</b> On a priority basis, conduct criminal investigations and civil sanctions through advice and intelligence-led enforcement, to prevent consumer detriment.</p> <p><b>Priority 4: Support Local Business</b> Provide advice and education, and improve compliance by tackling problem traders, particularly those who operate in the informal economy.</p>				
Measuring Success:				
	Target	2012/13 Baseline	2013/14 Target	Six-month progress report
2.1	Referrals of doorstep crime incidents from banks and adult safeguarding partners	20	25	There were 5 referrals of doorstep crime from banks and 4 from carer agencies, as well as 15 from neighbours, friends, family members and other agencies.
2.2	Number of rapid response interventions resulting in a real saving to consumers	96	80	There were 36 rapid response interventions, resulting in £85,000 savings to consumers.
2.3	Number of test purchase operations to detect the sale of age-restricted products	11 (155 visits)	5 (60 visits)	There have been 49 visits (3 operations), resulting in 9 sales.

2.4	Number of judicial disposals in relation to traders causing consumer detriment	55	55	There have been 31 judicial actions, including formal cautions, in relation to traders causing consumer detriment.
2.5	Number of businesses to receive education regarding underage sales	N/A	200	Two training sessions were provided to 20 local businesses on age-restricted legislation.

Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan 2013-14				
Service Area	Food, Safety and Licensing			
Service Lead	Paul Lehane	e-mail paul.lehane@bromley.gov.uk		
Service Outline				
<p>The main aim of the Food, Safety and Licensing team is to support Bromley businesses to provide safe food, safe and healthy workplaces, and to operate with the appropriate licences and permissions.</p>				
2013-14 Priorities:				
<p><b>Priority 1: Carry Out Food, and Health and Safety Functions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Undertake planned risk-based inspections</li> <li>○ Provide an appropriate response to complaints, work-related accidents and cases/outbreaks of infectious disease.</li> <li>○ Provide support to the Council and its managers to ensure staff are safe and healthy at work</li> </ul> <p><b>Priority 2: Carry Out Licensing Functions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Administer the statutory licensing schemes delegated to the Public Protection Division to provide a high quality, effective, timely and cost-effective service.</li> <li>○ Enforce licensing conditions and investigate complaints relating to unlicensed activity.</li> </ul>				
Measuring Success:				
	Target	2012/13 Baseline	2013/14 Target	Six-month progress report
3.1	Run the Best Bar None scheme in-house for up to 30 businesses	28 premises took part	30	The Best Bar None scheme is under way; businesses have been invited to join.
3.2	Undertake a review of the Corporate Safety Service following its transfer to Public Protection	N/A	Completion of review	To be started in Q3. The Fire Brigade has visited two Council properties and has questioned the adequacy of fire safety risk assessments. The team is now examining risk assessments across the Council's building stock.
3.3	Support or enforce food businesses with Zero FHRS (as rated at 1 April 2013) to	N/A	6	Of the six zero-rated businesses in April, there are now three

	improve their ratings (number of visits, letters/notices and prosecutions)			remaining.
3.4	Deliver a minimum of four multi-agency operations, to ensure the legal and appropriate management of licensed premises within the borough	4	4	Three Operation Condors have been undertaken: the first resulted in a drugs raid and a summary review of a license at the Black Horse in Biggin Hill; in the second, 8 premises were tested on the 'Challenge 25' policy, and 4 failed. 2 unlicensed premises were discovered, and a number of notices of suspension were issued in relation to unpaid fees. The third operation did not result in any actions.

Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan 2013-14				
Service Area	Environmental Protection; Partnership and Cross-Portfolio Issues			
Service Lead	Jim McGowan	e-mail jim.mcgowan@bromley.gov.uk		
Service Outline				
<p>The Environmental Protection service ensures that environmental and quality standards are maintained relating to air quality, water quality, drainage, land contamination, stray/abandoned dogs and pest control. The service also manages Bromley's CCTV service and Bromley's element of the Coroner's service.</p> <p>The Partnership team provides support for the Safer Bromley Partnership Board. The priorities and focus for the Partnership's action extend across a wide remit, including keeping young people safe, safeguarding vulnerable adults, and providing excellent services to reduce the harm caused by substance misuse. The Portfolio Holder is the Chairman of the Board, and ensures delivery and accountability of the Board's key targets.</p>				
2013-14 Priorities:				
<p><b>Priority 1: Support the Coroner and Mortuary Services</b> Retender the contract to achieve best value for money, and investigate the tendering of the pathology and histology services in order to achieve a 20% saving on that budget.</p> <p><b>Priority 2: Improve Scientific Services</b> Consolidate and expand the service for the investigation and reporting on asbestos in Borough properties, and develop the related income generation services in the private sector.</p> <p><b>Priority 3: Solve Drainage and Pest Control Issues</b> Retender the service for pest control. Carry out all investigations and enforcement in relation to pest control and drainage problems on private land.</p> <p><b>Priority 4: Provide the Stray and Abandoned Dogs Service</b> Retender the Stray and Abandoned Dogs Collection and Kennelling Services.</p> <p><b>Priority 5: Provide Support for the Safer Bromley Partnership Board</b> The Council has a key role in leading on the Safer Bromley Partnership's work to ensure delivery of common priorities. Each partner agency needs to set challenges to further build on reductions in crime levels and in dealing with domestic abuse, mentoring and youth diversion.</p>				
Measuring Success:				
	Target	2012/13 Baseline	2013/14 Target	Six-month progress report
4.1	Retender the contract for the Bromley mortuary services	Existing	Oct 2013	The contract for pathology and histology has been given to a single laboratory, reducing costs by 20%. We have been approached by LB Bexley to enter into a joint contract for the mortuary. The existing contract will be extended to end Oct

				2014 to facilitate this.
4.2	Retender the service for pest control	Existing	Nov 13	This is being progressed and will be the subject of an extension.
4.3	Retender the service for stray and abandoned dogs	Existing	Jan 2014	The proposed joint venture with Southwark has been cancelled, meaning the contract is subject to OJEU requirements. The contract is now on the Due North Pro contracts site. The existing contract is to be extended to March 2014 to accommodate the procurement timetable.
4.4	Set up a service for the delivery of mobile CCTV surveillance and sound insulation testing for Registered Social Landlords	Existing	£5k	This is being progressed.
4.5	Maintain the conviction rate for domestic abuse in cases managed by Domestic Abuse Advocates	64.7%	65.2 %	Q1 is 69%. We are awaiting numbers for Q2. The figures should have been expressed as a percentage and has been changed to reflect this.

Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan 2013-14				
Service Area	Environmental Protection; Partnership and Cross-Portfolio Issues			
Service Lead	Paul King	e-mail paul.king@bromley.gov.uk		
Service Outline				
<p>From April 2013, the operational responsibility for the leadership of the Council's services to Young People has been delegated to the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Plan from the Education Portfolio.</p> <p>The service teams include the Bromley Youth Support Programme, Education Business Partnership and the Youth Offending Team. The Bromley Youth Music Trust, the Duke of Edinburgh Awards, Youth Council, Summer Activities Programme, Mentoring Initiative and the Borough's Youth Centres are examples of services that fall within the area of the Council's services to Young People.</p> <p>The priorities define measures for the performance of those services for young people that are currently directly funded by the Portfolio (including MOPAC Grant funding).</p>				
2013-14 Priorities:				
<p><b>Priority 1: Support young people to remain in Education, Employment and Training</b> Support the mentoring service to leverage a reduction in the number of young people across the borough in the NEET group with a specific focus on YOT clients.</p> <p><b>Priority 2: Promote and provide activities for young people to do outside school</b> Ensure programme has borough-wide reach and is attractive to children and young people.</p> <p><b>Priority 3: Deter young people from anti-social and offending behaviour</b> Monitor the summer diversion programme's impact on levels of offending during programme delivery. Ensure that the programme is targeted at young people known to the Borough's ASB Unit and the YOT.</p> <p><b>Priority 4: Support young people if they do offend and enter the youth justice system</b> Support the mentoring service to assist the YOT service to address the offending behaviour of young people who are known to the YOT.</p>				
Measuring Success:				
	Target	2012/13 Baseline	2013/14 Target	Six-month progress report
5.1	Ensure that 100% of young people are referred to the mentoring service (where this is appropriate to their needs).	N/A	100%	From April to September, 37 young people have been matched to 38 mentors. During September (in keeping with the annual trend of increased referrals to the service at the start of the new school year) a further 21 referrals have been received and are being matched to mentors.
5.2	Increase in attendance of the summer	Existing	20%	In summer 2013, 11,243 children and

	youth diversion programme		increase	young people attended the programme, over 36 days. This was an increase of 4,743 (or 72%). Average daily attendance was over 300, compared to 179 in the previous year. Local police and fire officers believe the programme to have had a diversionary effect on offending behaviour. Local crime rates in Bromley fell between July and August 2013. A report will be presented to Members in November.
5.3	Increase the number of mentoring relationships forged.	N/A	100	38 new mentors have been recruited since April 2013. The service now has 136 mentors and there are 65 active mentoring relationships.



# Agenda Item 12

Report No.  
ES13119

London Borough of Bromley

PART 1 – PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent                      Non-Executive                      Non-Key

**Title:** MOPAC GRANTS PROGRESS UPDATE

**Contact Officer:** Clive Davison, Assistant Director Public Protection  
Tel: 020 8313 4688 E-mail: [clive.davison@bromley.gov.uk](mailto:clive.davison@bromley.gov.uk)

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment and Community Services

**Ward:** All

---

1. Reason for report

This report is an update on the progress of the MOPAC grant funded activity.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

The Committee is asked to consider and comment on the report.

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Not Applicable
  2. BBB Priority: Children and Young People Quality Environment Safer Bromley Vibrant, Thriving Town Centres
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost
  2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection & Safety Portfolio Budgets
  4. Total current budget for this head: £1.421m over 4 years (£278k 2013/14, £383k 2014/15, £378k 2015/16 & £382k 2016/17)
  5. Source of funding: Mayors Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC)
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): N/A
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Additional hours are contributed through LBB staff with regards to coordination, ongoing monitoring and strategic guidance.
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): Each of the project areas have targets for various programmes and service users, detailed within the individual grant agreements.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

### 3. COMMENTARY

3.1 On the 4th September 2013 the Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC) agreed the following grants for crime reduction initiatives in Bromley. Since then the various project leads have been undertaking the implementation of the projects. Funding will be for the next four years, subject to annual targets being met.

3.2 It should be noted that MOPAC have reduced the total grant by £20k as being the mandatory contribution by Bromley to the funding of the Rape Crisis Centres across London. Each grant has been reduced to reflect the deduction of the £20k.

3.3 The breakdown of grants are as follows:

Project	Funding for 2013/14 £	Provisional funding for		
		2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £
Domestic Abuse Strategy Co-ordinator	41,982	42,768	42,740	42,760
Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project	21,028	116,461	116,385	116,439
Community Domestic Abuse Projects	55,621	61,414	56,625	56,651
Safer Bromley Van	25,257	25,730	25,713	29,051
Community Safety Mentoring Programme	54,110	55,123	55,087	55,112
Bromley's Anyi-Social Behaviour Targeted Initiatives - Operation Crystal	80,233	81,735	81,681	81,718
<b>Total</b>	<b>278,231</b>	<b>383,231</b>	<b>378,231</b>	<b>381,731</b>

3.4 A progress report on each grant funded project is outlined below

#### **Domestic Abuse Strategy Co-ordinator**

3.5 Clare Elcombe remains in post and has been working primarily on setting up agreements for the delivery of the other projects within the VAWG portion of the MOPAC bid. She is also beginning work on the new Domestic Abuse & VAWG Strategy which is due for delivery in April 2014.

3.6 She continues to run the multi-agency Domestic Abuse & VAWG Forum; delivers training for the Safer Bromley Partnership, Safeguarding Children Board and Safeguarding Adults Board and oversees the delivery of the projects funded through MOPAC.

3.7 The agreed outcomes of this post are:

- Development of a new local VAWG strategy for 2013-2017 by April 2014.
- Maintain membership of Domestic Abuse & VAWG Forum throughout FY13/14 (Baseline: 40 member agencies).
- Delivery of four multi-agency training days per year, with a target for all evaluations to exceed 70% of participants considering the training to be excellent.
- The coordinator manages all of the DV commissioned services that are listed below:
  - Community Support Groups, Sanctuary Scheme, One Stop Shop, Perpetrator Programme, Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project.
- The overall outcome of the above projects is to target domestic abuse & VAWG and repeat victimisation. The overarching outcome is:

- Reduce repeat incidents of domestic violence by 2% by the end of FY13/14. (Baseline: end of FY12/13 this was 26.25% for Bromley).
- § NOTE – this outcome is under discussion with MOPAC as it is unclear where this baseline came from.

### Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project

3.8 The provision of three Independent Domestic Abuse Advocates (IDAAs) has continued by Victim Support despite the delays in the funding agreement from MOPAC meaning there has been a gap in contracts. Two IDAAs are currently located in Bromley Police Station, working primarily with high-risk victims who report to the Police with the intention to increase their safety through close risk assessment and safety planning, and also to improve conviction rates by supporting victims through the criminal justice system. A third IDAA works in the community with victims who have not made a Police report, with a specialism for working with young victims. This IDAA also undertakes preventative work with young people in schools, colleges and the YOT.

3.9 The agreed outcomes for this project are:

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	2013/14 Q1	2013/14 Q2	Evidence
Increase in conviction rate by 0.5% per year	64.7% (FY12/13)	65.2%	67.65	69.1%	CPS
Increase in referrals to MARAC from health services	TBD	+5	Awaiting clarification from MOPAC	Awaiting clarification from MOPAC	Bromley MARAC
Delivery of prevention workshops to young people	-	1000	Awaiting clarification from MOPAC	Awaiting clarification from MOPAC	Victim Support records
Satisfaction with service (>80% satisfaction)		>80%	Reported annually	Reported annually	Self-reported
Feelings of safety (>60% reporting increase)		>60%	Reported annually	Reported annually	Self-reported
Awareness of help available (>60% reporting increase)		>60%	Reported annually	Reported annually	Self-reported
Confidence (>60% reporting increase)		>60%	Reported annually	Reported annually	Self-reported
Feeling positive about the future (>60% reporting increase)		>60%	Reported annually	Reported annually	Self-reported

3.10 Project leads are preparing updated statistics, which were not available at the time of preparing this report.

### Community Domestic Abuse Projects:

3.11 This bid funds three separate projects, as follows:

#### One Stop Shop

Between April and August this project continued to be managed directly by the Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator pending the procurement process to hand it over to Bromley Women's Aid (BWA). BWA took on the project as of 01/09/13 pending the completion of the agreements with Bromley and MOPAC.

3.12 BWA continue to run a weekly drop-in service at Community House for any victims of domestic abuse to seek free, confidential advice and support. The service is staffed by BWA

and Victim Support, a local family solicitor, a housing officer from LBB housing options and a Police officer from the CSU.

3.13 The agreed outcomes of this project are:

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	2013/14 Q1	2013/14 Q2	Evidence
Increase in attendances by 10%	279	306	71	69	Quarterly data

3.14 Project leads are preparing updated statistics, which were not available at the time of preparing this report.

Keys to Freedom

3.15 Between April and July this project continued to be managed directly by the Domestic Abuse Strategy Coordinator pending the procurement process to hand it over to Bromley Women's Aid (BWA). BWA took on the project as of 01/08/13 pending the completion of the agreements with Bromley and MOPAC.

3.16 BWA continue to run twelve-week long psycho-educational support groups for women in the borough who have experienced domestic abuse. They deliver these in partnership with Bromley Children's Project, Probation and other agencies who release staff to be trained and deliver the programmes. BWA are currently offering three different programmes – The Freedom Programme, Keys to Freedom and C for Confidence & Women Achieving Together

3.17 The agreed outcomes for this project are:

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	2013/14 Q1 & Q2	Evidence
>70% state reduced experience of abuse		>70%	100%	Self-reported
>50% have no further Police involvement after one year		>50%	Reported annually	Self-reported
>70% have reduced Child Protection involvement after one year		>70%	Reported annually	Self-reported
>80% report increased feelings of safety	65%	>80%	100%	Self-reported
>80% report increased knowledge of domestic abuse	83%	>80%	100%	Self-reported
>80% report increased knowledge of local services	78%	>80%	89%	Self-reported
>80% report increased self-esteem and confidence	83%	>80%	100%	Self-reported
>80% report increased understanding of the effects of domestic abuse on children	78%	>80%	89%	Self-reported

3.18 Project leads are preparing updated statistics, which were not available at the time of preparing this report.

Perpetrator Programme

3.19 This programme has been commissioned jointly with the London Borough of Lewisham and thus funding and provision are split 50/50 between the two boroughs.

3.20 TRYangle began delivery of this project on 01/04/13 despite lacking the grant agreement from MOPAC as Lewisham had already engaged them. The project comprises of a 24-week rolling programme for male perpetrators of domestic abuse, along with up to 20 individual counselling sessions for each participant. This programme challenges abusive beliefs and behaviours, examines communication skills and anger management techniques and seeks to

raise understanding of the effects of abuse on children in the household. A parallel support group runs for their female partners or ex-partners to enhance their safety by increasing their knowledge of domestic abuse, raise their self-esteem and provide safety-planning advice. Up to 20 individual counselling sessions are also available to the women and they have access to a support worker in between sessions.

### 3.21 The agreed outcomes are:

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	2013/14 Q1	2013/14 Q2	Evidence
Number of referrals	16 referrals (pilot)	100 minimum	23	8	Local Referral statistics
Percentage of referrals engaged	25%	>40%	57%	63%	Local Referral statistics
Percentage of referrals completing	25%	>30%	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Local Referral statistics
Perpetrator's assessment of changes in their behaviour	75%	>70% state reduced abusive behaviours	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Self-reported
Victim's feelings of safety		>70% report increased feelings of safety	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Self-reported
Referring professional's assessment of changes in behaviour		>60% state reduced abusive behaviours	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Referrer
Referring professional's assessment of impact on any children		>60% report positive impact on children	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Referrer
Any changes in child protection involvement		>70% have reduced child protection involvement	N/A (24 week programme)	N/A (24 week programme)	Referrer
Referrals to MARAC for families engaged in the programme		>80% have no MARAC referrals within a year of completing programme	Reported annually	Reported annually	MARAC coordinator
Police involvement		>80% have no Police involvement within a year of completing programme	Reported annually	Reported annually	MARAC coordinator

3.22 Project leads are preparing updated statistics, which were not available at the time of preparing this report.

### Safer Bromley Van

3.23 This project has continued by Victim Support despite the delays in the funding agreement from MOPAC meaning there has been a gap in contracts. The Safer Bromley Van provides free home security and crime prevention; and has been operated in Bromley by Victim Support since May 2004. The aims of the project are crime prevention, reducing fear of crime and repeat victimisation for victims of burglary and other vulnerable people. Referral sources include Safer Neighbourhood Teams, One Stop Shop, Women's Safety Officer, Social Care, IDVAs, Age Concern and housing providers and all works are completed within 15 days of receiving the referral. Within the project is the Sanctuary Scheme which aims to reduce homelessness caused by domestic abuse by enabling victims to feel safer in their homes when a perpetrator has been removed. These works are completed within 5 days of referral.

3.24 The agreed outcomes are as follows:

Measure	Baseline	2013/14 Target	Evidence
Number of referrals	904 (FY11/12)	500 per year	Victim records Support records
Timescale of works		All within 15 days, domestic abuse within 5 days	Victim records Support records
Repeat victimisation of Bromley Van clients	0% re-victimised	<5% re-victimised within a year	MPS crime data – monitored quarterly
Client satisfaction		>90% satisfaction rate	Self-reported
Client feelings of safety		>90% report increased feelings of safety	Self-reported

### **Operation Crystal**

- 3.25 First Operation took place on the 6<sup>th</sup> June at the Riverbirds Estate, Cray Valley East. Local residents were consulted with to ascertain their priorities regarding ASB and envirocrime both before and after the operation. Public satisfaction on the final survey showed a 30% increase in satisfaction levels. (Initially 40% increased to 70%)
- 3.26 The calendar of operations has been set for the next 12 months and the next Operation will be in Penge on the 11<sup>th</sup> November. The yearly plan will include an exit operation in February on the Riverbirds which will address the outstanding issues highlighted by the residents and the implementation of an exit strategy which will give greater emphasis to local people to manage issues themselves through Resident Associations and SNPs with support from agencies.

### **Mentoring**

- 3.27 Between April 2013 and the start of September, 37 new young people had been referred to the mentoring service. 100% of these young people have been successfully matched to a mentor.
- 3.28 During September (in keeping with the annual trend of increased referrals to the service at the start of the new school year) a further 21 referrals had been received and these are currently being matched to mentors.
- 3.29 38 new mentors have been recruited since April 2013. The service now has 136 mentors who are matched or who are available for matching to young people referred to the service.
- 3.30 At the start of September 2013, 37 new mentoring relationships had been established since April 2013.
- 3.31 These additional matches brings the total of active mentoring relationships (new for 2013/14 and those already in existence) to 65.
- 3.32 On the basis of performance to date it is projected that the 2013/14 target of 100 mentoring relationships will be achieved.

### **Operation Crystal**

- 3.33 First Operation took place 6<sup>th</sup> June at the Riverbirds estate, Cray Valley East. The satisfaction rating on the final increase showed a 30% increase in public Satisfaction. (40% increased to 70%)

3.34 The calendar of operations has been set for the next 12 months and the next Operation will be in Penge on the 11<sup>th</sup> November. The yearly plan will include an exit operation in February on the Riverbirds Estate which will address the outstanding issues highlighted by the residents and the implementation of an exit strategy which will give greater emphasis to local people to manage issues themselves through Resident Associations and SNPs. With support from Agencies.

#### 4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The project outcomes contribute to the Building a Better Bromley priorities.

#### 5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The table below details the total funding from MOPAC over the next four years: -

Project	Funding for 2013/14 £	Provisional funding for		
		2014/15 £	2015/16 £	2016/17 £
Domestic Abuse Strategy Co-ordinator	41,982	42,768	42,740	42,760
Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project	21,028	116,461	116,385	116,439
Community Domestic Abuse Projects	55,621	61,414	56,625	56,651
Safer Bromley Van	25,257	25,730	25,713	29,051
Community Safety Mentoring Programme	54,110	55,123	55,087	55,112
Bromley's Anyi-Social Behaviour Targeted Initiatives - Operation Crystal	80,233	81,735	81,681	81,718
<b>Total</b>	<b>278,231</b>	<b>383,231</b>	<b>378,231</b>	<b>381,731</b>

#### 6. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

Two FTE posts in the Community Safety Team are dependent on the outcome targets being reached.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	



Report No.  
ES13116

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PDS COMMITTEE

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

**Title:** SUMMER DIVERSIONARY ACTIVITIES 2013:  
END OF PROGRAMME REPORT

**Contact Officer:** Paul King, Head of Youth Support Services  
Tel: 020 8461 7572 E-mail: paul.king@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment & Community Services  
Terry Parkin, Executive Director of Education, Care and Health Services

**Ward:** Boroughwide

---

1. Reason for report

As requested by the PDS committee this report provides an end of programme report for the Summer Diversionary Activities Programme 2013.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

**Members of the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee are asked to consider and comment on the contents of the report.**

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
  2. BBB Priority: Children and Young People Safer Bromley
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not applicable as reporting back on spend relating to summer activities
  2. Ongoing costs: N/A
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Youth Diversion Grant Budget within Community Safety and Youth Service Budget, Staying Healthy Budget within ECHS.
  4. Total current budget for this head: £80k plus £25k 'in kind' staffing hours from ECHS
  5. Source of funding: Existing revenue budget for 2013/14 and contributions from partners.
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 32
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours:1,745
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
  2. Call-in: Not applicable
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): 11,000 + children and young people participated in the programme.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? No
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

### 3. COMMENTARY

#### Background

3.1 Following a report to the meeting of the PPS PDS in March 2013 (ES13013), the Portfolio Holder gave approval to fund a programme of activities for young people in the Borough's parks, youth hubs and projects during the summer of 2013. The contribution agreed was £40,000 from the Youth Diversionary fund. The Portfolio Holder has subsequently agreed an additional sum of £2,000. In a report to the September meeting of the PPS PDS (ES13092) the contribution of other partners was confirmed as £63,000 (see section 4 below). The same report also provided detail of the content of the programme and the methods used to publicise the programme. The purpose of this report is to provide an account of the performance of the programme in terms of its key objectives of:

- increasing individual participation
- encouraging community participation
- providing a diversion from anti-social and criminal activity
- providing an opportunity for Council partners to reach more people
- increased participation in other services provided by Bromley Youth Support Programme

3.2 The 36 day programme started on Saturday 20 July 2013 and ran until Sunday 1 September in parks across the borough. The programme was intended for young people aged between 10 and 19 (or up to 25 for those with disabilities). It included activities such as sports; football, basketball, hula hoops and rounders; creative activities including henna tattoos, jewellery making, nail art, paper mache statues and smoothie making. Delivery was through a combination of commissioned and directly delivered services organised by the Bromley Youth Support Programme.

#### Increasing individual participation

3.3 The programme was set a performance target to exceed the level of participation achieved by the previous years' programme by 20%. As total participation in the previous year's programme had been 6,451 (with an average of 179 people attending each individual event) a target of 7,741 was set.

3.4 By the end of this year's programme the target had been exceeded by 146%. There were 11,293 attendances at the programme and an average attendance of 314 at each event. 5,278 under 8's attended and 6,015 over 8's attended. Given that many of the under 8's also attended with their parents we can reasonably assume that roughly 5,000 parents also attended the park days.

3.5 The most popular day was at Poverest Park in Cray Valley East Ward, where 778 young people attended. This attendance at an individual event was just 85 less than the total number of young people who attended the complete summer programme in 2011. A comprehensive demographic breakdown will be available following completion of the data entry and analysis of the attendance registration documents for the 11,000+ attendances at the programme.

3.6 **Appendix 1** provides full attendance details for the complete programme and allows comparison between this and the previous year.

## **Encouraging community participation**

- 3.7 In all of our park days we had large number of parents and young people in attendance. We had many comments on the positive impact of the days in bringing communities together and enabling families to spend time together with their children doing something where they didn't have to worry about the cost.
- 3.8 However, in some of our parks many of the parents attending were drinking alcohol and smoking cannabis. This presented some challenges to staff but also identified a real opportunity for other LA to make contact with some of the more marginalised communities in Bromley to provide them with public health messages and build contacts.
- 3.9 In Alexander Rec, Royston Fields, Betts Park and Crystal palace it was particularly encouraging to see an increase in the number of women and girls from the Somali community attending our park days.
- 3.10 Across the board there was also an increase in the number of young people with disabilities attending the park days.
- 3.11 We also shared an event with the Children's Social Care Living In Care Council which saw young people in care from across London attend one of our parks days.
- 3.12 In St Paul's Cray we had volunteers from our local youth centre working on the programme and the police cadets also provided invaluable support on some of our busiest days.
- 3.13 Repeat visits increased dramatically this year. This was in part due to the increased offer and wider variety of activities on offer where we made sure that we didn't provide the same activities every day, the weather also helped as did the profile of the programme across social media (see also below). This was the first year when we had people coming along to events having received a tweet to their twitter account.

## **Providing a diversion from anti-social and criminal activity.**

- 3.14 During August, when the programme was operating for the majority of the time, data drawn from the Metropolitan Police Crime Mapping Database indicates that local crime rates in Bromley appear to have fallen relative to the previous month and to the same period in the previous year.

### **Anti-social behaviour:**

- 3.15 When compared with August 2012, reported anti-social behaviour in Bromley in August 2013 shows a 5% reduction. Similarly, when compared with July 2013, reported anti-social behaviour for August 2013, when the programme operated on 26 days decreased by 18%.
- The rate for August 2013 was 2.84 per 1,000 people (878 offences)
  - The rate for July 2013 was 3.46 per 1,000 people (1070 offences)
  - During August 2012 the rate was 2.99 per 1,000 people (925 offences).

## **Criminal activity**

- 3.16 When compared with August 2012, reported crime in Bromley in August 2013 shows a 10% reduction. Similarly, when compared with July 2013, the notifiable crime rate dropped by 10% for August 2013, when the programme operated on 26 days.
- The rate for August 2013 was 4.87 per 1,000 people (1506 offences)
  - The rate for July 2013 was 5.45 per 1,000 people (1685 offences)
  - During August 2012 the rate was 5.39 per 1,000 people (1680 offences)
- 3.17 As in previous years, PCSOs reported that the park days were very good at providing a venue where they could meet local residents. They also reported anecdotal decreases in reported incidences of anti-social behaviour and criminal activity.
- 3.18 The Bromley Fire Brigade Borough Commander reported that over the summer period the Fire Brigade saw a 90% drop in deliberate fire setting by young people. With figures falling from 40 in Summer 2012 to 5 in Summer 2013, the Commander attributed much of this reduction to participation of young people in the BYSP summer programme.
- 3.19 While it is not possible to attribute the cause of these reductions entirely to the programme, it is perhaps reasonable to propose that, for the time they were participating, the programme may have been a contributory factor in diverting young people from participating in anti-social and criminal activity.

### **Providing an opportunity for Council partners to reach more people**

- 3.20 A range of partners supported the park days including Safer Neighbourhood Teams, PCSO's, Transport Police, Sexual Health, Metro (Chlamydia Screening), KickZ, Crystal Palace FC, Army, Bromley District Cricket Coaching, Affinity Sutton, Charlton Athletic FC, Sport for Streets, Darrick Wood Community Association, Healthwatch and NHS Healthchecks. See comment below.

**Appendix 1** includes a column detailing the participation of partners.

### **Increased participation in other services provided by Bromley Youth Support Programme**

- 3.21 Through the parks programmes and linked programmes at our local youth centres we were able to offer a wide range of activities. The contact with young people at our park days has resulted in more young people attending our Hubs and this again means that more young people are receiving help, support and the opportunity to take part in other positive activities. Overall we have seen 39 new young people attending our centres with 5 people asking to become volunteers and work with our year round programmes.

## Use of Facebook to promote the programme

3.22 We continued to use Facebook as an effective means of publishing the park days. It is evident that there has been an increase in the number of people who have attended the parks as a result of seeing the information on Facebook.

	2013	2012
Total Likes	1,093	267
Friends of Fans who have seen page	167,353	60,308
Weekly average Total Reach	38,093	36,767
Individual comments posted	246	103
Individual posts	1,096	563

3.23 The following is a selection of the 246 comments posted on Facebook.

- It was great kids loved it as usual! So lovely to have free activities to keep the kids entertained thank you Bromley! Well done! We will be there Monday and Tuesday next week! Xx
- great afternoon today, thanks to the team who organised the event, lovely to see so many smiles on faces ;)
- These days are brilliant we've been to a few now...so impressed that its free and the staff are so friendly and just want to give the kids a good day! Thank you Bromley youth activities x
- It's so good to hear about these types of activities being run locally by Bromley for young people, it gives the youngsters the opportunity to meet up and do activities they enjoy without it costing an arm and a leg, and stops them getting bored. Running the arts and craft, alongside the sporting activities is a really good idea as not all children are sporty but won't want to miss out on the fun. Keep up the good work.
- Just wanted to say a huge thank you to all the staff Bromley youth. All staff were friendly and lovely. Bought my children along today at queensmead and they had a fantastic time. I can't believe it's free of charge. Had a wonderful afternoon. THANK YOU
- Thank you so much for providing us with some great activities over the summer! The kids have had great fun and you have made our summer more bearable! Will try and come to one or two more we are away from Friday! So thanks again its been brill! Xx
- Thank you to all the staff ,my girls and niece really enjoyed all the activities i've taken them to about five park events through the summer holidays and they have enjoyed themselves each time even my mum has come along and had fun with her grandchildren its a big thumbs up from all thank you .x
- Who ever thought up the idea of going to parks round the borough is brilliant. Such a good way for the activities to be available to everyone. The staff were all lovely and good with the children.

A complete breakdown of the comments received is available on request from the contact officer for this report.

## Parks Evaluation Forms

3.24 Of the 315 evaluation forms completed, 270 (or 85%) thought the day was excellent with just one person thinking it was poor. The most popular activities were the bouncy castles and arts and crafts. Respondents would have liked to see more toilets, food and drink being offered and improved advertising. People heard about

the park days through a range of media including Facebook and LBB website but the most frequently recorded medium was by word of mouth from a friend.

- 3.25 A complete summary of the evaluation form data received is available on request from the contact officer for this report.

### **Summary**

- 3.26 This report has shown how the continued financial support from PPS has maintained improvement to the Council's Summer Diversionary activities programme enabling the programme to achieve its key objectives.
- 3.27 In 2011, 15 park days were delivered with a total attendance of 863 and an average daily attendance of 58 people. In 2012, following investment from PPS, total attendance increased to 6541 and to 179 on average per day. This year's total attendance increased to 11,293 with an average attendance of 314 on each day of the programme.
- 3.28 This report has also provided information on the community benefits, such as increased attendance by a range of communities and age groups, and has also indicated a positive association between the delivery of the programme and a reduction in the local incidence of anti-social and criminal behaviour. The programme has also been successful in encouraging financial investment by Council partners and also in providing them with a potential opportunity to reach more people to promote their services. A further outcome has been an increase in participation in the other services for young people provided by the Council through the Bromley Youth Support Programme.

### **Future developments**

#### **Partner Participation**

- 3.29 The involvement of partners was substantially enhanced this year. Funding was secured from Public Health and it is likely that they, along with Affinity Housing, will want to invest in next year's programme. Officers are currently working with partners to investigate how this financial investment can be matched through an increased involvement in the presence of partner staff at the programme. It is evident from the number of parents, carers and child minders who attended that there is scope for promoting more comprehensively the range of health preventive and development services provided by the Council and partners, for example through use of the Health Service Van which was present at a number of events and which could promote immunisation to parents and carers.

#### **Programme Content**

- 3.30 The content of the programme was developed on the basis of the feedback received in response to the delivery of the 2011 and 2012 programmes and on the knowledge of workers delivering the programme of "what works". Specific improvements to the programme to attract an older teenage group included separate and age appropriate arts and crafts activities and delivery spaces for under and over 11's, henna tattoo tutorials, team sports activities such as cricket and volleyball and specialist providers such as lazer tag, zorb balls and pedal go karts.
- 3.31 However the numbers of people accessing the programme and the pressure on activities did result in some of those programme activities being simplified or changed to satisfy the numbers and the demands of the younger age range.

Officers had sought to interest and potentially co-ordinate the delivery of the parks programme with existing provision by other providers (for example Mytime and Affinity Sutton) However, neither provider was delivering activities on the same scale as our summer programme. We are seeking to work with these providers at an early stage next year to support an increase in our offer to the senior age range.

#### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The table below summaries the financial position of the Summer Activities programme for 2013/14: -

<u>Summer Activities</u>	£
<b>Costs</b>	
Staffing	16,842
Specialist equipment & activities	45,930
Other equipment & activities	15,000
Marketing	2,228
<b>Total costs</b>	<u>80,000</u>
<b>Funded by:</b>	
Community Safety contribution	42,000
Public Health contribution	10,000
Contribution from the 'Tackling Troubled Families' Programme	20,000
ECS contribution	8,000
<b>Total Funding</b>	<u>80,000</u>

4.2 In addition to the £8,000 contribution shown above, ECHS also provided 'in kind' funding totalling £25,000, by supplying staff for planning and organising delivery of the Summer Programmes as well as diverting BYSP staff to deliver the activities for the park days.

4.3 Based on a total budget (in-kind and financial contributions) of £105,000 and 11,293 attendees the cost per individual attendance at this year's programme is £9.30. For last year's event which had a total budget of £84,951 and 6,451 attendees the cost per individual attendance was £13.17.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal, Personnel and Policy Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	



**BYSP PARK DAYS AND DATES 2013:**

**Opening times: 2pm - 6pm.**

Dates:	Park	Ward	Under 8	Over 8	Total Young People	Weather	Partners
<b>Week 1</b>							
Mon 22nd July	Penge Rec	Penge and Cator	89	163	252	Very Hot 30+	
Tue 23rd July	Shaftesbury Park	Plaistow and Sundridge	31	66	97	Good	
Wed 24th July	Hoblingwell Wood Rec	Cray Valley West	53	87	140	Good	Friend of the park
Thurs 25th July	Norman Park	Hayes and Coney Hall	131	215	346	Good	BYPASS
Fri 26th July	Queens Gardens	Bromley Town	152	131	283	Good	
Sat 27th July	Biggin Hill Rec	Biggin Hill	103	115	218	Rain	BYC
<b>Week 1 Total:</b>			<b>559</b>	<b>777</b>	<b>1336</b>		
<b>Week 1 2012 total</b>					<b>889</b>		
<b>Week 2</b>							
Mon 29th July	Royston Fields	Penge and Cator	199	198	397	Warm/ Overcast	Police
Tue 30th July	Mottingham Sports Ground	Mottingham and Chislehurst North	43	87	130	Rain	Police, Army, Charlton Athletic - Affinity Sutton, BYPASS, Sport For Streets, Bromley District Cricket Coaching
Wed 31st July	Goddington Park	Chelsfield and Pratts Bottom	48	145	193	Light Showers/ Overcast	Sport For Streets, Bromley District Cricket Coaching
Thur 1st Aug	Parkfield Rec	Bromley Common and Keston	41	107	148	Very Hot 30+	Sport For Streets, Bromley District Cricket Coaching
Fri 2nd Aug	Tillingbourne Green	Cray Valley West	31	112	143	Warm/overcast	Bromley Health Care - C card
Sat 3rd Aug	Priory Gardens	Orpington	82	134	216	Good	BYC
<b>Week 2 Total:</b>			<b>444</b>	<b>783</b>	<b>1227</b>		
<b>Week 2 2012 total</b>					<b>969</b>		
<b>Week 3</b>							
Mon 5th Aug	Churchfields Rec	Clockhouse	61	265	326	Overcast/ Heavy rain	Sport for Streets, CPFC Foundation
Tue 6th Aug	Kingsmeadow	Plaistow and Sundridge	136	102	238	Good	
Wed 7th Aug	St Mary Cray Rec	Cray Valley East	166	127	293	Good	Transport Police
Thur 8th Aug	Mc andrews recreation Ground	West Wickham	120	78	198	Good	Transport Police
Fri 9th Aug	Norman Park	Hayes and Coney Hall	340	232	572	Good	BYPASS, Transport Police, NHS Healthchecks
Sat 10th Aug	Church House Gardens	Bromley Town	126	96	222	Good	BYC
<b>Week 3 Total:</b>			<b>949</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>1849</b>		
<b>Week 3 2012 total</b>					<b>1257</b>		
<b>Week 4</b>							

Dates:	Park	Ward	Under 8	Over 8	Total Young People	Weather	Partners
Mon 12th Aug	Croydon Road Rec	Kelsey and Eden Park	312	270	582	Good	Transport Police, Bromley District Cricket Coaching
Tue 13th Aug	Whitehall rec Ground	Bickley	303	181	484	Good	BYPASS, Transport Police
Wed 14th Aug	Grassmead Rec	Orpington	112	124	236	Good	
Thur 15th Aug	Tugmutton	Farnborough and Crofton	183	242	425	Warm/Overcast	
Fri 16th Aug	Petts Wood Rec	Petts Wood and Knoll	161	151	312	Good	
Sat 17th Aug	Betts Park	Crystal Palace	112	127	239	Rain/Overcast/ Cold	BYC, CPFC Foundation
<b>Week 4 Total:</b>			<b>1183</b>	<b>1095</b>	<b>2278</b>		
<b>Week 4 2012 total</b>					<b>1280</b>		
<b>Week 5</b>							
Mon 19th Aug	Crystal Palace park	Crystal Palace	380	371	751	Good	BYPASS, Bromley District Cricket Coaching, CPFC Foundation
Tue 20th Aug	Queensmead	Shortlands	173	170	343	Good	
Wed 21st Aug	St pauls cray rec	Cray Valley East	236	238	474	Good	NHS Healthchecks
Thur 22nd Aug	Coney Hall Rec	Hayes and Coney Hall	130	77	207	Good	
Fri 23rd Aug	Tubbendon Lane	Farnborough and Crofton	151	250	401	Warm/Overcast	
Sat 24th Aug	Walden Road Rec Ground	Chislehurst	12	8	20	Torrential rain	BYC
<b>Week 5 Total:</b>			<b>1082</b>	<b>1114</b>	<b>2196</b>		
<b>Week 5 2012 total</b>					<b>1213</b>		
<b>Week 6</b>							
Mon 26th Aug	NO EVENT						
Tue 27th Aug	Mottingham Sports Ground	Mottingham and Chislehurst North	127	197	324	Good	BYPASS, Sport for Streets, NHS Healthchecks
Wed 28th Aug	Poverest Park	Cray Valley East	333	445	778	Good	Transport Police
Thur 29th Aug	Biggin Hill Rec	Biggin Hill	200	248	448	Good	Transport Police
Fri 30th Aug	Leamington Ave Playground	Chelsfield and Pratts Bottom	153	166	319	Good	
Sat 31st Aug	Cator Park	Copers Cope	144	135	279	Good	CPFC Foundation
Sun 1st Sep	Alexandra Rec	Penge and Cator	104	155	259	overcast/good	
<b>Week 6 Total:</b>			<b>1061</b>	<b>1346</b>	<b>2407</b>		
<b>Week 6 2012 total</b>					<b>843</b>		
<b>Overall Total:</b>			<b>5278</b>	<b>6015</b>	<b>11293</b>		
<b>Target:</b>					<b>7741</b>		
<b>% of Target Reached</b>					<b>146</b>		
<b>Last year total</b>					<b>6451</b>		

# Agenda Item 14

Report No.  
ES13121

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY POLICY DEVELOPMENT  
AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

**Date:** 5 November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

**Title:** UPDATE ON MOTTINGHAM TARGETED ACTION

**Contact Officer:** Jim McGowan, Head of Environmental Protection  
Tel: 020 8313 4651 E-mail: Jim.McGowan@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Nigel Davies, Executive Director of Environment & Community Services

**Ward:** Mottingham & Chislehurst North

---

1. Reason for report

To provide Members with an update on the progress being made with the Mottingham Project

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

**Members are asked to note the Report**

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy:
  2. BBB Priority: Children and Young People Excellent Council Quality Environment Safer Bromley:
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable:
  2. Ongoing costs: None
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Targeted Neighbourhood Activity Project
  4. Total current budget for this head: £150k
  5. Source of funding: Earmarked reserve for Member Priority Initiatives.
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): one
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: one FTE
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Non-Statutory - Government Guidance:
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable:
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): N/A
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Ward members have been informed of progress with this project.
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: n/a

### **3. COMMENTARY**

- 3.1 Council on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2012 approved the setting aside of £2.26m in an earmarked reserve for Member Priority Initiatives. The Public Protection and Safety Portfolio is responsible for the delivery of one of the projects – Targeted Neighbourhood Activity with an allocation of £150k.
- 3.2 On 24<sup>th</sup> July 2012, the Portfolio Holder agreed to allocate £100k of this sum towards a pilot project in Mottingham.

#### **Mottingham Project Summary**

##### **Schools Drawing Competition**

- 3.3 A schools drawing competition was held for the three primary schools in Mottingham to introduce the project and try to involve the local community in looking after the area. The children in the schools had to design a logo for use in the Mottingham project and the overall project cost £428.
- 3.4 The competition helped to raise the awareness by the schoolchildren and identify concerns in the area about litter and dumped waste.

##### **Geffreys Estate**

- 3.5 Proposed new bin stores will reduce the amount of waste dumped and also household waste being placed in the wrong areas; the plans for these new bin stores have now been received and the estimated cost is expected to be £25,000. Affinity Sutton will fund £20,000 of the costs and LBB will make a contribution of £5,000.
- 3.6 A specific leaflet regarding rubbish disposal has also been prepared by Affinity Sutton and LB Bromley regarding waste disposal and it is suggested that Affinity Sutton use this as part of a Welcome Pack for all new tenants; it can be amended with LBB agreement and subsequently used for all estates.

##### **Beaconsfield & Cranley Parades**

- 3.7 Negotiations with the landlords for this Parade has led to the following initiatives being agreed for implementation jointly by LBB and the landlords. LBB will contribute an amount of £3,900 towards the cost of the initiatives.

- Renovation of staircase for residents leading to the bin store at the rear of the Parade.
- Provision of lighting to allow safer access for residents on the rear staircase.
- Clearance of shrubs and some trees at rear of property to allow the area to be properly treated for the vermin infestation and to ensure the effective use of new lighting.
- CCTV to be installed to identify those illegally depositing waste in this area.
- Erection of signs to deter dog fouling in this area.
- Replacement of street litter bins in the focal community area to provide larger capacity for litter and more pleasing environment.

##### **Co-Operative Store and recycling area**

- 3.8 A new fence has now been erected at the rear of the recycling area at a cost of £629. The recycling area has now been resurfaced. The Co-Op is also considering an option to resurface the car park. The Co-Op has agreed to erect gates to their car park in order to prevent access into the car park area at unsocial hours. A contribution of £500 will be made towards the cost of the gates. Clearance of blocked drains which cause the car park to flood has now been arranged. The Co-Op has agreed to redecorate the building and surrounding area in order to provide a brighter, fresher image to this focal community business.

### **Court Road/ Mottingham Road**

- 3.9 The landlords have taken action to block off the areas between the garages which were previously used by fly-tippers. CCTV is being installed by the landlords to monitor the area and to gather evidence of those people who are illegally depositing waste. The Council officer has instigated better communications, developed between landlords, the shops and LB Bromley. LBB has funded waste containers for a period of 12 months in this position at a cost of £292.

### **Mottingham Street Survey**

- 3.10 A survey of all residential properties in Mottingham has been carried out to identify those properties where the gardens require attention to remove waste. Letters have been sent out to all of these householders, requesting clearance of the waste and this matter will be followed up formally.

### **Mottingham Community Clean Up Day**

- 3.11 A Community Clean Up Day has taken place in Mottingham, where residents and community groups together took part in an organised litter pick and brush up. As part of this event survey forms were issued to residents to gather their views on litter, waste and dog fouling; these will be analysed shortly. This was a successful event where residents were also offered a 'one-off' clearance of bulky waste items and green waste, which was used as a vehicle to explain to residents the waste collection services offered by the council. The estimated cost of the event is expected to be £2,372.

### **Dog Fouling**

- 3.12 As part of a pro-active campaign to reduce the amount of dog fouling in the area, patrols are being arranged and Fixed Penalty Notice warning signs have been erected in all of the identified affected areas. Leaflets have also been produced to warn dog owners of the penalties involved and these will be distributed in the area. The estimated cost of the leaflets is £30.

### **3.13 Mottingham Recreation Ground**

A vehicle barrier is to be erected at Mottingham Recreation Ground to deter those who fly-tip in the Recreation Ground car park. A contribution of £7,500 will be made towards the cost of barrier.

## **4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1 A summary of the latest financial position of the Member Initiative is shown in the table below: -

#### **Targeted Neighbourhood Activity Member Initiative**

	<b>Allocation</b>	<b>Spent / Committed</b>	<b>Unspent balance</b>
	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>	<b>£'000</b>
Mottingham Targeted Action Project	100	58	42
Unallocated sum	50	0	50
<b>Total Budget for Member Initiative</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>92</b>

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Legal, Personnel and Policy Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	n/a

Report No.  
RES13190

## London Borough of Bromley

### PART ONE - PUBLIC

---

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> November 2013

**Decision Type:** Non-Urgent                      Non-Executive                      Non-Key

**Title:** **WORK PROGRAMME AND CONTRACTS REGISTER**

**Contact Officer:** Stephen Wood, Democratic Services Officer  
Tel: 020 8313 4316 E-mail: stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Mark Bowen, Director of Corporate Services

**Ward:** All

---

1. Reason for report

1.1 Members are asked to review the Committee's Work Programme and to consider the contracts summary for the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 **That the Committee:**

- (i) reviews its Work Programme (Appendix 1); and
- (ii) notes the Public Protection and Safety Portfolio Contracts (Appendix 2).

### Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy: Committees normally receive a report on matters outstanding at each meeting.
  2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council Safer Bromley
- 

### Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost
  2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable:
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Democratic Services
  4. Total current budget for this head: £363,070
  5. Source of funding: 2013/14 revenue budget
- 

### Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 10 posts (8.55fte)
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Maintaining the Committee's work programme normally takes less than an hour per meeting.
- 

### Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None:
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable: This report does not involve an executive decision.
- 

### Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): This report is primarily for the benefit of Committee Members.
- 

### Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? No
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A



### 3. COMMENTARY

#### Forward Programme

- 3.1 The table at **Appendix 1** sets out the Public Protection and Safety PDS Forward Work Programme. The Committee is invited to comment on the schedule and to propose any changes it considers appropriate.
- 3.2 Other reports may come into the programme - schemes may be brought forward or there may be references from other Committees, the Portfolio Holder or the Executive.

#### Contracts Register

- 3.3 A Public Protection and Safety Contracts Register Summary is at **Appendix 2**.

### 4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 Each PDS Committee is responsible for setting its own work programme.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Financial/Legal/Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Previous Work Programme Reports

**PP&S PDS COMMITTEE - FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME**

<b>PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PDS – 21<sup>ST</sup> JANUARY 2014</b>
Matters Arising
Chairman's Update
Police Update
Previous Portfolio Holder Decisions
Budget Monitoring
Draft 2014/15 Budget
Annual Update on Substance Misuse 2012/13
Report on Tackling Gangs in Bromley
Presentation from Crown Prosecution Service-TBC
End of Year Bromley Youth Council Report
CCTV Control Room Report
Annual Update on Youth Offending Team (January 2014) Also to Care PDS
Work Programme and Contracts Register
Schedule of visits
<b>PUBLIC PROTECTION AND SAFETY PDS – 4<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2014</b>
Matters Arising
Chairman's Update
Police Update
Previous Portfolio Holder Decisions
Budget Monitoring
Report on Anti Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Bill (if info available)
Annual Update on Youth Services (March 2014) also to Education (for information)
Draft Portfolio Plan 2014/15
Work Programme and Contracts Register
Schedule of visits
<b>Public Protection and Safety PDS—June 2014</b>
Matters Arising
Chairman's Update
Police Update
Previous Portfolio Holder Decisions
Budget Monitoring
Mentoring End of Year Report (June 2014) also to Education (for information)
MOPAC Crime Prevention Fund-Bid Outcome
Work Programme and Contracts Register
Schedule of visits

## Public Protection and Safety Contracts Register Summary

<b>Contract</b>	<b>Start</b>	<b>Complete</b>	<b>Extensi on granted to</b>	<b>Contractor</b>	<b>Total Value £</b>	<b>Annual Value £</b>	<b>Public Protection &amp; Safety PDS</b>
CCTV Maintenance	1.4.2012	31.3.2015 with ability to extend for a further 2 years		Eurovia	Fixed 3 years  £214,256	£42,851	24 Jan 2012 referred to Executive on 1 <sup>st</sup> Feb 2012
CCTV Control Room	1.4.2012	31.3.2015 with ability to extend for a further 2 years		OCS	£1,280,690	£256,138	24 Jan 2012 referred to Executive on 1 <sup>st</sup> Feb 2012
Dog Collection – Stray and Abandoned Dogs Gateway Review	1.12.2012	30.11.13	April 2014	SKD Environment al Ltd	£63,566	£63,566	PP&S PDS 18 Sept 2012
Kennels – Stray and Abandoned Dogs Gateway Review	1.12.2012	30.11.13	April 2014	Woodland Annual Care Ltd	£96,000	£96,000	PP&S PDS 18 Sept 2012
Vets Animal Welfare Enforcements	1.4.2013	31.3.2014	1 year	Corporation of London Veterinary Service	£11,000	£11,000	Waiver agreed by Director of Environmental Services

This page is left intentionally blank